Lesson 2

Concurrency at Programming Language Level

Ch 2 [BenA 06]

Abstraction
Pseudo-language
BACI
Ada, Java, etc.

Levels of Abstraction

- Granularity of operations
  - Invoke a library module
  - Statement in high level programming language
  - Instruction in machine language
- Atomic statement
  - Anything that we can guarantee to be atomic
    - Executed completely "at once"
    - Always the same correct atomic result
    - Result does not depend on anybody else
  - Can be at any granularity
  - Can *trust* on that atomicity

Atomic Statement

- Atomicity guaranteed somehow
  - Machine instruction: HW
    - Memory bus transaction

Load R1, Y

Read mem(0x35FA8300)

  - Programming language statement, set of statements, or set of machine instructions
    - SW
      - Manually coded
      - Disable interrupts
      - OS synchronization primitives

?

-- start atomic
Load R1, Y
Sub R1, =1
Jpos R1, Here
-- end atomic

  - Library module
    - SW
      - Manually coded inside
      - Provided automatically to the user by programming environment

Monitors
Ch 7 [BenA 06]

Concurrent Program

3 processes (P, R, Q) interleaved execution



- Sequential process
  - Successive atomic statements

P: p1 → p2 → p3 → p4 …

  - Control pointer (= program counter)
- Concurrent program
  - Finite set of sequential processes working for same goal
  - Arbitrary interleaving of atomic statements in different processes

P: p1 → p2
Q: q1 → q2

p1→q1→p2→q2,
p1→q1→q2→p2,
p1→p2→q1→q2,
q1→p1→q2→p2,
q1→p1→p2→q2,
q1→q2→p1→p2.

?

p1 → q2 → p2 → q1

Program State, Pseudo-language

pseudo-kieli

- Sequential program



- State
  - next statement to execute (cp, i.e., PC)
  - variable values



(Global) Program State

- Concurrent program



- Local state for each *process*:
  - cp
  - Variable values
    - Local & global
- Global state for *program*
  - *All* cp's
  - *All* local variables
  - *All* global variables



Possible Program States

- List of processes in program
  - List of values for each process
    - cp
    - value of each local/global/shared variable

p1: n ← k1
q1: n ← k2
$k1 = 1, k2 = 2$
$n = 0$

state: { { p1: n ← k1 – process p
k1 = 1 }
{ q1: n ← k2 – process q
k2 = 2 }
n = 0 – shared variable
}

- Nr of possible states can be (very) large
  - Not all states are reachable states!

(saavutettavissa, saavutettava tila)

unreachable state: { { p1: n ← k1
k1 = ②}
{ q1: n ← k2
k2 = ①}
n = ③
}

State Diagram and Scenarios

State diagram



Process p	Process q	n	k1	k2
p1: n←k1	q1: n←k2	0	1	2
(end)	**q1: n←k2**	1	1	2
(end)	(end)	2	1	2

Scenario 1 (left side)

- Transitions from one possible state to another
  - Executed statement must be one of those in the 1st state
- State diagram for concurrent program
  - Contains all reachable states and transitions
  - All possible executions are included, they are all correct!

Atomic Statements

Algorithm 2.1: Trivial concurrent program

integer n ← 0

p	q
integer k1 ← 1	integer k2 ← 2
p1: n ← k1	q1: n ← k2

- Two scenar
  - Both corre
  - Different r

NO need to have the same result!
Statements do the same, but overall result may be different.
(see p. 19 [BenA 06])

- Atomic?
  - Assignmer
  - Boolean e
  - Increment



Algorithm 2.3: Atomic assignment statements

integer n ← 0

p	q
p1: n ← n + 1	q1: n ← n + 1

- Two scenarios for execution
  - Both correct
  - Both have the same result

P first, and then Q

Process p	Process q	n
p1: n←n+1	q1: n←n+1	0
(end)	**q1: n←n+1**	1
(end)	(end)	2

Q first, and then P

Process p	Process q	n
p1: n←n+1	**q1: n←n+1**	0
p1: n←n+1	(end)	1
(end)	(end)	2

Algorithm 2.3: Atomic assignment statements

integer n ← 0

p	q
p1: n ← n + 1	q1: n ← n + 1

Same statements with smaller atomic granularity:

Algorithm 2.4: Assignment statements with one global reference

integer n ← 0

p	q
integer temp	integer temp
p1: temp ← n	q1: temp ← n
p2: n ← temp + 1	q2: n ← temp + 1

Too Small Atomic Granularity

Algorithm 2.4: Assignment statements with one global reference

integer n ← 0

p	q
integer temp	integer temp
p1: temp ← n	q1: temp ← n
p2: n ← temp + 1	q2: n ← temp + 1

- Scenario 1
  - OK
- Scenario 2
  - Bad result
- From now on
  - Assignments and Boolean evaluations are atomic!

Process p	Process q	n	p.temp	q.temp
p1: temp←n	q1: temp←n	0	?	?
p2: n←temp+1	q1: temp←n	0	0	?
(end)	**q1: temp←n**	1	0	?
(end)	**q2: n←temp+1**	1	0	1
(end)	(end)	2	0	1

Process p	Process q	n	p.temp	q.temp
p1: temp←n	q1: temp←n	0	?	?
p2: n←temp+1	**q1: temp←n**	0	0	?
p2: n←temp+1	q2: n←temp+1	0	0	0
(end)	**q2: n←temp+1**	1	0	0
(end)	(end)	2	0	0

Correctness

- What is the correct answer?
- Usually clear for sequential programs
- Can be fuzzy for concurrent programs
  - Many correct answers?
  - What is intended semantics of the program?
  - Run programs 100 times, each time get different answer?
    - Each answer is correct, if program is correct!
    - Does not make debugging easier!
    - Usually can not test all possible scenarios (too many!)
  - How to define correctness for concurrent programs?
    - Safety properties = properties that are always true
    - Liveness properties = properties that eventually become true

"turvallisuus"

"elävyys"

Safety and Liveness

- Safety property — safety-ominaisuus, turvallisuus
  - property must be true all the time
    - "Identity"
      - memFree + memAllocated = memTotal
    - Mouse cursor is displayed
    - System responds to new commands

identiteetti, invariantti

- Liveness property — elävyys, liveness-ominaisuus
  - Property must eventually become true
    - Variable n value = 2
    - System prompt for next command is shown
    - Control will resume to calling program
    - Philosopher will get his turn to eat
    - Eventually the mouse cursor is not displayed
    - Program will terminate
- Duality of safety and liveness properties
  - { P_i will get his turn to eat } ≡ not { P_i will never get his turn to eat }
  - { n value will become 2 } ≡ not { n value is always ≠ 2 }

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL)

(lineaarinen) temporaalilogiikka

- Define safety and liveness properties for certain state in some (arbitrary) scenario
  - Example of Modal Temporal Logic (MDL), logic on concepts like possibility, impossibility, and necessity
- Alternative: Branching Temporal Logic (BTL)
  - Properties true in some or all states starting from the given state
    - More complex, because all future states must be covered
  - Common Temporal Logic (CTL)
    - Can be checked automatically
      - Every time computation reaches given state
    - SMV model checker
    - NuSMV model checker

Fairness

reiluus

- (Weakly) fair scenario
  - Wanted condition eventually occurs
    - Nobody is locked out forever
    - Will a philosopher ever get his turn to eat?
    - Will an algorithm eventually stop?

Algorithm 2.5: Stop the loop A

integer n ← 0
boolean flag ← false

p	q
p1: while flag = false	q1: flag ← true
p2: n ← 1 − n	q2:

- All scenarios should be fair
  - One requirement in correct solution

Machine Language Code

- What is atomic and what is not?
  - Assignment? X = Y;
  - Increment? X = X+1;

Algorithm 2.6: Assignment statement for a register machine

integer n ← 0

p	q
p1: load R1,n	q1: load R1,n
p2: add R1,#1	q2: add R1,#1
p3: store R1,n	q3: store R1,n

Critical Reference

kriittinen viite

- Reference to variable v is critical reference, if …
  - **Assigned value in P and read in Q**
    - Read directly or in a statement



- Program satisfies limited-critical-reference (LCR)
  - Each statement has at most one critical reference
  - Easier to analyze than without this property
  - Each program is easy to transform into similar program with LCR

rajoitettu kriittinen viite

	P	Q	
Not LCR:	n = n+1;	n = n+1	Bad
Not LCR:	n = m+1;	m = n+1	Bad
LCR:	tempP = n+1; n = tempP;	tempQ = n+1; n = tempQ;	Good

LCR vs. atomicity? (ouch)

Volatile and non-atomic variables

- Volatile variable — riskialtis
  - Can be modified by many processes (must be in shared memory)
  - Advice for compiler (pragma)
    - Keep something in memory, not in register
    - Pseudocode – does not generate code
- Non-atomic variables
  - Multiword data structures: long ints, arrays, records, …
  - Force access to be indivisible in given order

What if compiler/hw decides to keep value of n in a register/cache? When is it stored back to memory? What if local1 & local2 were volatile?

Algorithm 2.8: Volatile variables

integer (n) ← 0

p		q	
	integer local1, local2		integer local
p1:	n ← *some expression*	q1:	local ← n + 6
p2:	*computation not using n*	q2:	
p3:	local1 ← (n + 5) * 7	q3:	
p4:	local2 ← n + 5	q4:	
p5:	n ← local1 * local2	q5:	

store n? exec. order? store n? which n?

Example Program with Volatile Variables

Algorithm 2.9: Concurrent counting algorithm

integer n ← 0

p		q	
	integer temp		integer temp
p1:	do 10 times	q1:	do 10 times
p2:	temp ← n	q2:	temp ← n
p3:	n ← temp + 1	q3:	n ← temp + 1

- Can implement it in any concurrent programming language
  - (Extended) Pascal and (Extended) C
  - BACI (Ben-Ari Concurrency Interpreter)
    - Code automatically compiled (from Extended Pascal or C)
  - Ada
  - Java

Concurrent Program in Pascal

(Ben-Ari Concurrent Pascal)

possibly volatile

```
1  program count;
2  var n: integer := 0;
3
4  procedure p;
5  var temp, i: integer;
6  begin
7    for i := 1 to 10 do
8      begin
9      temp := n;
10     n := temp + 1
11     end
12 end;
```

```
16 procedure q;
17 var temp, i: integer;
18 begin
19   for i := 1 to 10 do
20     begin
21     temp := n;
22     n := temp + 1
23     end
24 end;
25
26 begin { main program }
27 cobegin p; q coend;
28   writeln('The value of n is ', n)
29 end.
```

n is volatile, because… it is assigned in one thread, and read in the other

Concurrent Program in C

(Ben-Ari Concurrent C, C--)

possibly volatile, use carefully (volatile, if critically referenced)

```
1  int n = 0;
2
3  void p() {
4    int temp, i;
5    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
6      temp = n;
7      n = temp + 1;
8    }
9  }
10
```

```
16 void q() {
17   int temp, i;
18   for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
19     temp = n;
20     n = temp + 1;
21   }
22 }
23
24 void main() {
25   cobegin { p(); q(); }
26   cout << "The value of n is " << n << "\n";
27 }
```

What if compiler optimized and kept n in a register? Lets hope not! (in ExtPascal or C-- global (volatile) variables are seemingly kept in memory by default)

Concurrent Program in Ada

```
1  with Ada.Text_IO; use Ada.Text_IO;
2  procedure Count is
3    N: Integer := 0;
4    pragma Volatile(N);
5
6    task type Count_Task;
7    task body Count_Task is
8      Temp: Integer;
9    begin
10     for I in 1..10 loop
11       Temp := N;
12       N := Temp + 1;
13     end loop;
14   end Count_Task;
15
```

advice compiler to keep N in memory

```
16 begin
17   declare
18     P, Q: Count_Task;
19   begin
20     null;
21   end;
22   Put_Line("The value of N is " & Integer'Image(N));
23 end Count;
```


Concurrent Program in Java

```
1  class Count extends Thread {
2    static volatile int n = 0;
3
4    public void run() {
5      int temp;
6      for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
7        temp = n;
8        n = temp + 1;
9      }
10   }
```

Thread.yield(); // force?

```
16   public static void main(String[] args) {
17     Count p = new Count();
18     Count q = new Count();
19     p.start();
20     q.start();
21     try {
22       p.join();
23       q.join();
24     }
25     catch (InterruptedException e) { }
26     System.out.println("The value of n is " + n);
27   }
28 }
```

How many threads really in parallel?
• how to control it?

> javac Adder8.java
> java Adder8

Execute on 8-processor vera.cs.helsinki.fi?

BACI

http://www.mines.edu/fs_home/tcamp/baci/

- Ben-Ari Concurrency Interpreter
  - Write concurrent programs with
    - *C--* or *Ben-Ari Concurrent Pascal* (.cm and .pm suffixes)
    - Compile and run in BACI
  - GUI for Unix/Linux
- jBACI http://stwww.weizmann.ac.il/g-cs/benari/jbaci/
  - Just like BACI
  - GUI for Windows
- Installation http://stwww.weizmann.ac.il/g-cs/benari/jbaci/jbaci1-4-5.zip
  - load version 1.4.5 jBACI executable files and example programs, unzip, edit config.cfg to have correct paths to bin/bacc.exe and bin/bapas.exe translators, click run.bat
- Use in class, homeworks and in project

BACI Overall Structure



http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/kerola/rio/BACI/baci-c.pdf

jBACI



Just like BACI, but with Java

- requires Java v. 1.4 (SDK or JRE)
- Built-in compiler and interpreter
- edit state
- run state

http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/kerola/rio/BACI/jbaci.pdf

jBACI IDE (integrated development environment)



jBACI IDE (integrated development environment)



Summary

- Abstraction, atomicity
- Concurrent program, program state
- Pseudo-language algorithms
- High level language algorithms
- BACI