

Ex. 1

$$1. \circ u_1^T u_2 = u_1^T \left(a_2 - \frac{u_1^T a_2}{u_1^T u_1} u_1 \right) u_1 = u_1^T a_2 - \frac{u_1^T a_2}{u_1^T u_1} \cdot u_1^T u_1 \\ = u_1^T a_2 - u_1^T a_2 = 0.$$

$$\circ \text{Let } v = d_1 a_1 + d_2 a_2$$

$$\text{As } a_1 = u_1$$

$$a_2 = u_2 + \left(\frac{u_1^T a_2}{u_1^T u_1} \right) u_1$$

$$v = d_1 u_1 + d_2 \left(u_2 + \left(\frac{u_1^T a_2}{u_1^T u_1} \right) u_1 \right) \\ = \left(d_1 + d_2 \frac{u_1^T a_2}{u_1^T u_1} \right) u_1 + d_2 u_2 \\ = \tilde{d}_1 u_1 + \tilde{d}_2 u_2.$$

2. • given (a_1, \dots, a_k) , assume that (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) ($n-1 < k$) are orthogonal vectors.

$$\text{Set } u_n = a_n - \frac{u_1^T a_n}{u_1^T u_1} u_1 - \dots - \frac{u_{n-1}^T a_n}{u_{n-1}^T u_{n-1}} u_{n-1} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Then } u_i^T u_n = u_i^T a_n - \frac{u_1^T a_n}{u_1^T u_1} u_i^T u_1 - \dots - \frac{u_{n-1}^T a_n}{u_{n-1}^T u_{n-1}} u_i^T u_{n-1} \\ \text{for } i = 1 \dots n-1.$$

$$\text{By assumption : } u_i^T u_j = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j$$

$$\text{Hence : } u_i^T u_n = u_i^T a_n - 0 \dots - \frac{u_i^T a_n}{u_i^T u_i} \cdot u_i^T u_i - 0 \dots \\ = u_i^T a_n - u_i^T a_n = 0.$$

Thus u_n is orthogonal to every vector in (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) .

By induction, (u_1, \dots, u_k) are orthogonal to each other.

- Assume that you can write any linear combination of (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) as a linear combination of (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) . (Ex 1)

If $v = \underbrace{d_1 a_1 + \dots + d_{n-1} a_{n-1}}_{\text{linear combination of } (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} + \underbrace{d_n a_n}_{\text{new term!}}$

Use $a_n = v_n + \frac{v_1^T a_n}{v_1^T v_1} v_1 + \dots + \frac{v_{n-1}^T a_n}{v_{n-1}^T v_{n-1}} v_{n-1}$ from

Equation (1) and the assumption that

$d_1 a_1 + \dots + d_{n-1} a_{n-1}$ can be written in terms of (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) as

$\tilde{d}_1 v_1 + \dots + \tilde{d}_{n-1} v_{n-1}$,

to write

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \tilde{d}_1 v_1 + \dots + \tilde{d}_{n-1} v_{n-1} + d_n v_n + d_n \frac{v_1^T a_n}{v_1^T v_1} \cdot v_1 + \dots + d_n \frac{v_{n-1}^T a_n}{v_{n-1}^T v_{n-1}} v_{n-1} \\ &= (\tilde{d}_1 + d_n \frac{v_1^T a_n}{v_1^T v_1}) v_1 + \dots + (\tilde{d}_{n-1} + d_n \frac{v_{n-1}^T a_n}{v_{n-1}^T v_{n-1}}) v_{n-1} + d_n v_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if the assumption holds, we can also write any linear combination of (a_1, \dots, a_n) as linear combination of (v_1, \dots, v_n) . From 1., we know that the assumption holds for $n=2$, thus (by induction) it will hold for $n=k$. (or any n).

Remark: From Eq. (1), we see that we must also assume that a_n cannot be written in terms of the other vectors (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) , or the vectors (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) .

Else $v_n = 0$.

Vectors (a_1, \dots, a_n) which have this property are called linearly independent. The procedure of this exercise works thus only for linearly independent vectors (a_1, \dots, a_n) .

Ex. 2

1. Let \vec{u}_1 and \vec{u}_2 such that $A\vec{u}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{u}_1$
 $A\vec{u}_2 = \lambda_2 \vec{u}_2$

For $u = d_1 \vec{u}_1 + d_2 \vec{u}_2$

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= d_1 A\vec{u}_1 + d_2 A\vec{u}_2 \\ &= d_1 \lambda_1 \vec{u}_1 + d_2 \lambda_2 \vec{u}_2 \\ &= \lambda (d_1 \vec{u}_1 + d_2 \vec{u}_2) \\ &= \lambda u. \end{aligned}$$

2. For $U = (\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot U &= (A\vec{v}_1 \dots A\vec{v}_n) = (\lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 \dots \lambda_n \vec{v}_n) \\ &= U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = U \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

3. (a) From $AU = U\Lambda$, $A = U\Lambda U^{-1} = U\Lambda V^T$ (with $V^T = U^{-1}$)

(b) $A_{ij} = U^{(i)} \Lambda V^{(j)}$ where $U^{(i)}$ is the i -th row of U
 $V^{(j)}$ is the j -th column of V^T
 $V^{(-j)}$ is the $-j$ -th row of V

$$\begin{aligned} &= U^{(i)} \Lambda V^{(j)^T} \\ &= U^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & v_{j1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_n & v_{jn} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_k \lambda_k v_{jk} U_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

row vector $[0 \dots \overset{(ii)}{\downarrow} 1 \dots 0]$

column vector
 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^j$

On the other hand, $A_{ij} = \sum_n \lambda_n (\vec{e}^{(i)})^T \vec{u}_n \vec{v}_n^T (\vec{e}^{(j)})$

$$= \sum_n \lambda_n U_{in} V_{jn}$$

Hence $A = U\Lambda V^T = \sum_n \lambda_n \vec{u}_n \vec{v}_n^T$.

(c) From $A = U \Lambda \tilde{U}^{-1}$

$$\tilde{A}^{-1} = U \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \tilde{U}^{-1} = U \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} V^T$$

(d) The same calculation as in (b), but for \tilde{A}^{-1} ,
shows that

$$\tilde{A}^{-1} = \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \tilde{U}_n \tilde{V}_n^T.$$

Ex. 3

1. Without using $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$

From Ex. 3 $A_{ij} = \sum_n \lambda_n U_{in} V_{jn}$ so that

$$A_{ii} = \sum_n \lambda_n U_{in} V_{in}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i A_{ii} &= \sum_n \lambda_n \sum_i U_{in} V_{in} = \sum_n \lambda_n \underbrace{\sum_i (U^T)_{ni} (V^T)_{in}}_{(U^T \cdot V^T)_{nn} = 1} \\ &= \sum_n \lambda_n \underbrace{\sum_i (U^T)_{ni} (U^{-T})_{in}}_{(U^T \cdot U^{-T})_{nn} = 1} \quad (\text{using } V^T = U^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_n \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

With $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(U \Lambda U^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(U^{-1} U \Lambda) = \text{Tr}(\Lambda) = \sum_n \lambda_n.$$

Why is $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$? :

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \sum_i (AB)_{ii} = \sum_i \sum_n A_{in} B_{ni} = \sum_n \sum_i B_{ni} A_{in} = \sum_n (BA)_{nn}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \det A &= \det(U \Lambda U^{-1}) = \underbrace{|U| | \Lambda | |U^{-1}|}_{\substack{\det A = |\Lambda| \\ \text{properties of determinant}}} = |U| \cdot 1/|U| \cdot |\Lambda| \\ &= |\Lambda| = \prod_n \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

because the determinant of $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ is the product of the diagonal elements.

Ex. 4

1. • $Au_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$

$Au_2 = \lambda_2 u_2$

• $U_2^T A u_1 = \lambda_1 U_2^T u_1 \cancel{\Leftarrow}$.

$U_2^T A u_2 = \lambda_2 U_2^T u_2$ (1)

• Taking the transpose of (1) :

$$U_2^T A^T U_1 = \lambda_2 U_2^T U_1$$

As $A^T = A$, we have $U_2^T A u_1 = \lambda_2 U_2^T U_1$ and

$$U_2^T A u_2 = \lambda_1 U_2^T U_1$$

• Hence : $0 = U_2^T U_1 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$.

By assumption $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$ so that $U_2^T U_1 = 0$.

2. • We have just seen that eigenvectors for eigenvalues that are distinct are orthogonal to each other.

Normalization makes them orthonormal.

• For the case of equal eigenvalues, we obtain a set of eigenvectors ($q_1 \dots q_k$) which are not orthogonal*. We know, however, that any linear combination of the ($q_1 \dots q_k$) is also an eigenvector. We can thus use Ex. 1 to make them orthogonal.

(* but linearly independent)

3. Assume $v^T A v > 0 \quad \forall v \neq 0$

Take for v the eigenvector u_k ,

$$\text{Then } u_k^T A u_k = u_k^T \lambda_k u_k = \lambda_k (u_k^T u_k) > 0$$

As $(u_k^T u_k) = \|u_k\|^2 > 0$, we obtain $\lambda_k > 0$

• Assume $\lambda_i > 0$ and write v in terms of the orthogonal eigenvectors u_i as

$$v = d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_M u_M$$

$$\begin{aligned} v^T A v &= (d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_M u_M)^T A (d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_M u_M) \\ &= (d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_M u_M)^T (d_1 \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + d_M \lambda_M u_M) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} d_i u_i^T d_j \lambda_j u_j \\ &= \sum_i d_i \underbrace{d_i}_{>0} \underbrace{\lambda_i}_{>0} \cdot u_i^T u_i \quad \text{because } u_i^T u_j = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \\ &= \sum_i \underbrace{(d_i)^2}_{>0} \underbrace{\|u_i\|^2}_{>0} \underbrace{\lambda_i}_{>0} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Because $\lambda_i > 0$, we can use formula (10) of Ex. 3 to conclude that \tilde{A}^{-1} exists,

Note: For $(u_1 \dots u_M)$ orthonormal eigenvectors,

$U^{-1} = U^T$ so that for any sym positive def. (symmetric)

$$\text{matrix } A : \tilde{A}^{-1} = \sum_i \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i \underline{u_i^T}$$

$$A = \sum_i \lambda_i \underline{u_i} \underline{u_i^T}$$

Ex.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. L(\mu, \sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i; \mu, \sigma) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right] \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2(x_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu) + (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2(\bar{x} - \mu) \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})}_{0} + N(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\
 &= N S^2 + N(\bar{x} - \mu)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(\mu, \sigma) = \log L(\mu, \sigma) &= -\frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{N}{2} S^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{N}{2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{N}{\sigma} + \frac{N S^2}{\sigma^3} + N \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{\sigma^3} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = +N(\bar{x} - \mu) \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\mu = \bar{x}}}$$

$$\text{And } \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \bar{x}} = -\frac{N}{\sigma} + \frac{N S^2}{\sigma^3} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\sigma^2 = S^2}}$$

The \log is a monotonically increasing function. Hence the max argument of $L(\mu, \sigma)$ and $\ell(\mu, \sigma)$ are the same.