


582519 Scientific Writing for MSc in Computer Science: Writing process

Lecture 2, 10.9.2013
Tiina Niklander

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 1




Last week's task: How did it go?

Introduction to the Use of Computers?
C programming?
Other courses?
Small group meetings?

What did you do last week for your paper?

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 2



Course material

Read one book about scientific (or academic writing):

- Zobel: Writing for computer science
- Murray: How to write a thesis


Read general style guides:

- Strunk: The elements of style (1st ed 1918, 5th ed 2009)

Any general books about writing in English:

- Grammar, punctuation,


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 3



What is scientific writing?

- Describing new scientific ideas and results for other scientists and the science community
 - New ideas or combining old ideas in a new way
- Evaluation of the presented ideas/results
 - Correctness
 - Relationship to former ideas and results
- Scientific writing is an important part of the process of science, i.e., the process of accumulating reliable knowledge


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 4



What is scientific writing? (2)

- New results (new contribution) build on existing knowledge
- Scientific results are accepted when they have been independently reviewed and published
- Different types of scientific publications with their own characteristics
- Scientific writing itself is also a process


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 5



The process of science

- Idea or research question
- Hypothesis or model for the solution
- Testing the hypothesis/model
- Representing and evaluation of the results
- Publishing the results after independent reviewing
- Good writing is a crucial part of this process!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 6



Bloom's Taxonomy Action Verbs by Clemson university

Level	Bloom's definition	Verbs
Knowledge	Remember previously learned information	Memorize, list , define, identify, repeat, order
Comprehension	Demonstrate an understanding of the facts	Classify, paraphrase , explain, extend, select
Application	Apply knowledge to actual situations	Change, employ, use, modify, relate , discover
Analysis	Break down objects or ideas into simpler parts and find evidence to support gener.	Appraise, criticize, model, examine, diagram
Synthesis	Compile component ideas into a new whole or propose alternative solutions	Arrange, create, plan, collect, develop, write
Evaluation	Make and defend judgments based on internal evidence or external criteria	Argue , assess, choose, compare, evaluate


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
<http://www.clemson.edu/assessment/assessmentpractices/referencematerials/>
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
7



Levels of thinking/writing/learning by Boehm (based on the original Bloom's taxonomy)

LEVEL	FOCUS	GOAL	VERBS
1 INFORMATION UNDER- STANDING	Identification and repeating - able to repeat accurately	Know that you know	List, repeat, describe, define, identify, create titles
2	Reach the impact and meaning of information	Show that you understand	Explain, condense, interpret, enhance, modify, measure
3 APPLICATION	Use information - apply knowledge to new situations	Show: use the information	Apply, use, find solutions or examples, solve, choose methods
4 ANALYSIS	Make conclusions f.e. show relationships between elements and the whole	Show: find the essential elements in the information	Analyse, debate, break into elements, generalise, create outlines, deduce
5 SYNTESIS	Be creative and original - compose elements and ideas in new ways	Show: create and formulate large wholes	Create, invent, design, produce, implement, combine, compose, merge
6 EVALUATION	Create criteria in order to evaluate the information. Use the criteria.	Show: evaluate ideas, information, methods and solutions	Compare, choose, evaluate, contrast, create criteria, value


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
8



Contents of a scientific article

- The position of the new idea with respect to the former scientific knowledge
- Clear and formal statement of the new idea
 - Often as a hypothesis or a model
- Description of the novelty of the idea, or the contribution of the article
- Justification of the theory by proof or experiments
- Note: details of the research process leading to the article do not usually belong to the article!


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
9



Structure of a scientific article

- Title and author
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Body of the article (including related research/work)
- Summary/conclusions
- (Acknowledgements)
- Bibliography
- Appendices


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
10



Types of publications

- Course books
- Other kinds of text books
- Standards
- Journal articles
- Conference articles
- Theses
- Technical reports
- Manuscript
- Web documents/publications


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
11



Nature of publications

- Primary sources
 - Original, reliable information
 - Articles in scientific journals, conference and workshop books, research reports, theses
 - Patents, laws and directives, standards
- Secondary sources
 - Useful material for restructuring and analysis of existing information, not original and new results
 - Course books, article collections, surveys, newsletters, dictionaries, etc.


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
12



Process of writing scientific text

- Idea or interesting topic
- Finding and evaluating relevant source material
- Reading material
- Identifying essential issues
- Restructuring them logically
- Writing them down using proper presentation techniques
- Iterative process:** text must be re-written several times!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 13



Characteristics of scientific text

- Content usually technical
- Should be based on facts
 - Writer's interests and opinions can be seen in the choice of the topic, not in the text as such (student paper's normally contain justified opinions also)
- Based on former theories and research results
- Motivating on why the problem considered is important
 - Not marketing of the ideas
- Arguments and conclusions
- Verifiability, reliability, and repeatability of the results


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 14



Characteristics of scientific text (2)

- Clear and logical structure
- Not a direct copy from anybody else's text
- Source material is analysed and restructured
- Based on peer-reviewed research material
 - Journal, conference and workshop articles in computer science are typically peer-reviewed
 - Peer-reviewers are researchers that are experts in the topic in question
 - Writers do not know who the reviewers are


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 15



Characteristics of scientific text (3)

- Text is suitable for its target group
 - How are the readers?
 - How are they going to use the text and the information given in it?
- Clearness of the text
 - The reader must understand the text in a same way as the writer
- Reflects writer's deep understanding of the topic!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 16



Target groups of scientific text

- Readers that have scientific background
- Other researchers in the same area
- Whole scientific community
- General public
- Some basic knowledge of the topic is usually required
- In this course and in the seminars: other MSc degree students


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 17



How do you learn scientific writing?

- Following the topic area and reading relevant articles
- Writing yourself
- Searching for feedback from others
 - Peer students
 - Teachers
 - ...
- Iterative process!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 18



Important things to remember

- It is important that the reader understands what you have written
 - The audience you should write to are the other students in this course!
- All the texts must be written by you
 - Not a copy of a text written by someone else
 - Not a direct copy from the reference material
- Remember always to check the correctness of the language!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 19



Important things in your paper

- Department's layout for thesis and reports
- Structure of your text
- List of references


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 20



How to start: Collecting joint ideas


- -- to be added in the class --

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 21



Writing process


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 22



Writing process

- (Scientific) writing is a process
- Different phases
 - Thinking and planning
 - Outlining
 - Writing
 - Revising
 - Finalising
- Iteration between phases sometimes necessary


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 23



Thinking and planning

- Getting started
 - Defining purpose and scope
 - Identifying target group
- Gathering ideas
 - Finding relevant background information
 - Identifying things to be included
- Taking the requirements of the intended publication forum into account


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 24



Getting started

- Define the purpose and scope of your text
 - What are the problems considered? Why are they interesting?
 - What perspective is taken?
 - What are the objectives of the text?
 - Which purpose the text is written to?
- Who are the readers? (target group)
 - What the readers want to know?
 - What information should be given to them?
 - In this course: your fellow students


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 25



Gathering ideas

- Finding background information
 - Information retrieval of scientific text
 - Reading the relevant material
 - Defining the main references to be used
 - What kind of related work should be considered?
- Identifying things (topics) to be included and finding their relationships
 - Key words, key phrases
 - Relevant concepts and definitions
 - The most important results to be presented


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 26



Outlining

- Starting point:** What is text's scope and purpose?
- What does the reader need to learn or know about the topic?
- Starting from the common knowledge and proceeding to the new ideas and results
- Logical structure and order** of the text is essential!


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 27



Outlining (2)

- Helps authors to
 - organise their thoughts
 - evaluate relevance of different topics and their representation
 - remember the relationships between topics
- Should support reading and reader's understanding
 - Describes
 - structure of the text
 - logical presentation and reading order
 - Should still support several types of reading
 - browsing, specific information searches, learning, ...


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 28



Outlining (3)

- Should lead to a logical, clear story
 - In a concise form in the list of contents
 - Clarified in the introduction, especially if there is something special in it
- Questions to answer:
 - What topics are considered?
 - In which order they are told?
 - What is the importance and length of each topic?


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 29



Outlining (4)

- What kind of parts are needed?
 - Which chapters?
 - Only in longer texts; seldom in scientific articles
 - Which sections?
 - Which subsections?
 - Some other parts?
- Finding
 - a good title for the whole text
 - headings for chapters, sections and subsections


HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI Department of Computer Science www.cs.helsinki.fi 9/10/2013 30



Outlining (5)

- Some publication forums may have strict rules on the outline
 - For example: Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion
- Can cause problems in explaining complex topics in phases
 - For example a comparison of two methods => Introduction, Background, Methods, Results, Discussion, Methods, Results, Discussion
- Not typical in computer science


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 31



Different types of outlines

- Chaining outline
 - Presentation of the problem
 - Related work, earlier solutions and their flaws
 - New solution
 - Results and their evaluation
- Specificity-based outline
 - First general explanation/description, then more specific ones
 - For example for describing a system consisting of several components


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 32



Different types of outlines (2)

- Example-based outline
 - Idea or results explained first with help of a typical case or situation
 - Generalisation of ideas/results and describing them more formally
- Complexity-based outline
 - First presentation of a simple case
 - Then description of a more complicated case (generalisation, extension)


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 33



Titles

- A title of an article/thesis/report must be informative and concise
 - Too general terms and titles should be avoided
 - Every term should be necessary
- Must be attractive
- Not too complicated and filled with words
- Not too short either
- Preciseness is more important than conciseness and attractiveness!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 34



Titles (2)

- Examples:
 - Too complicated:
 - An Investigation of the Effectiveness of Extensions to Standard Ranking Techniques for Large Text Collections
 - Better:
 - Extensions to Ranking Techniques for Large Text Collections
 - Too general:
 - Huffman Coding for Databases
 - Better:
 - Limited-Memory Huffman Coding for Databases of Textual and Numeric Data

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 35



Chapter and section headings

- Should reflect the structure of the work
 - For example
 - 4. List and trees
 - 4.1. Lists
 - 4.2. Trees
- Not complete sentences
 - Example:
 - Not: Replication of Data Leads to Reduction in Network Traffic
 - But: Replicating Data to Reduce Network Traffic

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013 36



Chapter and section headings (2)

- Not too lively
- Avoid questions or abbreviations
- Headings at the same level should
 - be comparable in their contents and structure
 - have a clear connection to the balanced outline
- Third-level headings, i.e. subsubsections, seldom needed
 - Usually the need of them indicates problems in the outline

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 37



Chapter and section headings (3)

- Paragraph titles should be avoided
 - If needed, should be part of the paragraph
- Numbering of headings depends on the publication forum
 - Unnumbered headings must be distinguished by a specific font, style or font size
 - At our department numbering of headings is required

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 38



Paragraphs

- Building blocks of chapter, sections and subsections
- Should not be too long
 - Logical flow of the text becomes difficult to follow
- Short paragraphs easier to read and they make communication more efficient
 - No paragraphs consisting of just one sentence!
- A paragraph for each aspect of the topic

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 39



Writing

- Scientific text should be impartial, accurate and objective
 - Arguments must be based on evidence
 - Statements should be supported by examples
 - Sources of information and ideas must be indicated
 - Use enough words to make your meaning clear
- Started by writing a draft of the text
 - Flow of ideas
 - A short text can be drafted completely

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 40



First draft

- Freely written
 - Concentrate on presenting ideas in a logical way
- Raw text
 - Style, layout and punctuation can be corrected later
 - Exception: mathematical and formal issues as precisely as possible from the very beginning
- Must be edited and revised carefully and thoroughly
 - Several times
 - Difficult things more times than easier

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 41



How to proceed with writing

- Different approaches
 - Write the introduction first
 - Start from the body of the text


=> use the method that is **the best for you**
- Write something even if it is hard
- Start with easier things
- If everything else is difficult, fix the technical details (list of references, etc.)

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi


9/10/2013 42



Revising

- After the first draft is ready/complete
- **Aim:** ensure that thoughts created in the mind of the reader(s) are the same as the thoughts of the writer(s)
- Checking
 - the order of presented ideas
 - the use of words and terminology
 - style, layout and punctuation


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
43



Revising (2)

- No statement should be introduced abruptly and without warning
- Relationships between parts at a same level should be clear
 - Each section should be related to the preceding and the next one
 - Similarly with paragraphs in a section and sentences in a paragraph


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
44



Revising (3)

- Paragraphs and sentences should be in a logical and effective order
- Balance is important
 - Parts must be balanced in themselves, and in the relation to one another
 - Holds for sections, subsections, paragraph, and even sentences
 - For example, no sections with just one subsection!


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
45



Revising (4)

- Important and difficult parts typically re-written several times
- After a revision, put the text a side for a moment
 - Avoid blindness to your own text!
- Ask someone to read your text and give comments!
 - Experts versus non-experts
 - The function of criticism and feedback is to improve your writing


HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
46



Finalising


- When all the parts of the text are written at least once
- Check that objectives, motivation and restrictions are in line
- Evaluate issues that the readers or reviewers might criticise or argue against
- Check technical details
- If published, the critics of the reviewers should be taken into account
- Changing publication forum may require bigger changes

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
47



Structure of a thesis, report or seminar paper

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science
www.cs.helsinki.fi
9/10/2013
48



Structure of a thesis, report or seminar work


- Title page
- Abstract
- Table of contents
- Introduction
- Body of the work
- Conclusions
- List of references
- (Appendices)

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 49



Abstract


- Helps the reader to decide whether to read the whole text or not
- Should be short, but clear, informative and concise
- Details or description of the outline not part of the abstract
- Final version is written after all the other parts of the text are ready
- Aimed to large audience
 - Readers are not necessarily experts on the topic
- No references to other articles

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 50



Introduction


- Introduction should be the easiest part of the text to read and not too long
- Must tell what are the new ideas and the main results presented in the article/report/thesis
- Must show that that the whole text is worth reading
- Can be written first, but then typically must be revised

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 51



Introduction (2)


- Not too technical, but specific and informative enough
 - Not technical terminology
 - Not very detailed definitions of terms/concepts
 - Not too much mathematics and formulas
- Must contain motivation
- No deep literature analysis
- Importance of the results, not conclusions
- (References to relevant work)

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 52



Introduction (3)


- Typical contents and structure
 - Description of the topic and its context
 - (Related work)
 - Description of the problem considered
 - Summary of the proposed solution
 - Evaluation of the solution
 - Application areas
 - Consequences
 - Brief description of the outline of the work
- In surveys: why the certain approaches were chosen

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 53



Literature overview

- Related work, survey
- Connections to earlier research on relevant topics
- As important as the description of the contribution of the text
- Location in the text
 - In the beginning of the article (description of the context, a part of introduction)
 - If large, a separate section is required
 - As a part of the body of the text
 - After the body, where a comparison of old and new solutions is possible
- In different sections when it is appropriate

HELSINKI YLIOPISTO
Helsinki University of Technology
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 54



Definitions

- Terminology, variables, abbreviations and acronyms must be defined or explained **the first time** they appear in the text
- Consistent emphasising
 - Different style of letters: italics, boldfacing, ...
 - Only the first occurrence
- Sometimes several explanations can be good
- Definitions are given when needed
 - Usually a separate section "Definitions" is not needed/good
 - Every defined term should be necessary

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 55



Results and their analysis

- Traditional order of presentation:
 - Description of all results
 - Analysis of the results
- Drawback: the reader might not be able to follow what happens
- More reasonable order of presentation:
 - Analysis is combined with the description of results and how they are obtained
- Description of a particular result should usually start with a brief summary on the main observations

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 56



Conclusions

- Brief repetition of the main ideas, results and conclusions as well as their meaning
- Restrictions of the work can be repeated
- No new ideas or conclusions that are not presented in the body
- Can be stated
 - Unsolved problems
 - Which points or perspectives were omitted
 - Which variations should be considered/researched further

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 57



Divided authorships

- All the authors have some kind of contribution to the contents of the article
- Brainstorming and developing ideas
- Even writing together
 - Each author write a certain part of the text (different styles, non-coherent style)
 - One or two authors write the draft, and other revise it in turns

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Department of Computer Science

www.cs.helsinki.fi

9/10/2013 58