Project in String Processing Algorithms

Spring 2015, period III

Juha Kärkkäinen

Who is this course for?

- Master's level course in Computer Science, 2 cr
- Continuation of String Processing Algorithms course
- Requires some programming experience
- Regular course of Algorithms, Data Analytics and Machine Learning subprogramme
- Suitable for Algorithmic Bioinformatics subprogramme (or MBI) particularly for those interested in biological sequence analysis
- Good fit for Software Systems subprogramme

Course structure

- Three main tasks
 - 1. Implementation of string processing algorithms
 - **2.** Experimental analysis and/or comparison of the algorithms
 - **3.** Presentation of the results as a poster
- Each task has about the same weight in grading
- Can be done in groups of at most three
 - Each group member implements something

Algorithm implementation

- Each student in a group implements a significant part of the core algorithms
 - Separate grading for each student
- Can be based on existing implementations
- Any programming language, provided that:
 - Compiles and runs on department computers
 - Same within a group
- Important qualities:
 - correct, well tested
 - readable, well documented
 - efficient, well tuned
- Degree of difficulty is taken into account

Algorithm implementation (continued)

Return to instructor:

- Implementation code
- Scripts for compiling and running
 - simple example(s)
 - correctness tests
- Documentation
 - description of what was done: existing code used, main design decisions, tuning details etc.
 - roles of group members
 - guidance for understanding the code
 - instructions for compiling and running
 - format is free, even comments in the code is OK
- By email in a single package (zip, tar.gz, or something like that)

Experiments

- The purpose of the experiments:
 - Determine the performance of algorithms under different conditions
 - Find best algorithms, variations or parameter settings
- Choice of test data is important
 - Try to find best and worst cases for each algorithm.
 - Compare theory and practice.
 - Use generated, artificial data for fine control of parameters, real world data for real world performance.
 - Avoid too trivial experiments. For example, exact string matching time is trivially linear in the length of the text.
- Mainly joint responsibility of a group, but each student should make sure that their algorithms are well represented.

Poster

- Includes:
 - Description of the problem
 - Description of algorithms and implementations
 - Experimental setting (repeatability)
 - Experimental results and their interpretation
- Presented to an audience of other students and staff of the department
 - Not all have taken the String Processing Algorithms course (recently)
- Visual clarity is important
 - Avoid too much detail, include only main points and results.
 Additional details may be explained verbally.
 - Use figures, graphs, colors, etc.
- See examples

Tentative schedule

Week 1 (13.1.): Formation of groups, selection of topics

- Study the topic
- Week 2 (20.1.): Finalization of topic details
 - Study implementation details, start coding
- Week 3 (27.1.): Additional details on implementations
 - Coding, start documenting, study experimenting
- Week 4 (3.2.): Initial design of experiments
 - Coding, documenting, design experiments, study poster making
- Week 5 (10.2.): Final design of experiments, initial design of poster
 - (12.2.): Return of implementations
 - Experimenting, poster making
- Week 6 (17.2.): Final design of poster, show draft poster
 - Finalize poster
- Week 7 (24.2.?): Poster presentation

Topic: Exact String Matching

- Extensive implementations and experiments using C
 - http://www.dmi.unict.it/~faro/smart/
 - S. Faro and T. Lecroq: The exact online string matching problem: A review of the most recent results. ACM Computing Surveys 45, 2, Article 13 (March 2013), 42 pages. http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2431211.2431212
- Other programming languages?

Topic: String Range Matching

- Generalization of exact string matching
- Given a text T and two patterns P and Q, list suffixes of T that are lexicographically between P and Q
- J. Kärkkäinen, D. Kempa, S. Puglisi: String Range Matching. Proceedings of the 25th Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM), pp. 232-241, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07566-2_24

Topic: Multiple Exact String Matching

- Aho-Corasick
- Multi-pattern versions of Shift-Or, Horspool, BOM, Karp-Rabin, ...
- L. Salmela, J. Tarhio, and J. Kytöjoki: Multipattern string matching with q-grams. Journal of Experimental Algorithmics 11, Article 1.1 (February 2007). http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1187436.1187438

Topic: Approximate String Matching

- Standard dynamic programming, Ukkonen's cut-off heuristic, Myers' bitparallel algorithm, filtering algorithms, ...
- G. Navarro: A guided tour to approximate string matching. ACM Computing Surveys 33(1): 31-88, 2003. http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/375360.375365
- L. Salmela and J. Tarhio: Approximate String Matching with Reduced Alphabet. Workshop on Algorithms and Applications, LNCS 6060, Springer 2010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12476-1_15

Topic: String sorting

- Extensive set of implementations and experiments in C++:
 - https://panthema.net/2013/parallel-string-sorting/
- Other programming languages?
- Cache misses are important

Other topics

- string search trees
- suffix tree construction
 - McCreight vs. transform from suffix array

• ...

- Topics from an earlier year:
 www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/vmakinen/strproject12/strproject12.pdf
- Own topic