# Probabilistic Models: Spring 2014 Gene Finding Example

We are given the following hidden Markov model describing the (simplified) behavior of DNA.



		$S_{t-1}$	$S_t$	$\Theta_{S_t S_{t-1}}$	$S_i$	$O_i$	$\Theta_{O_i S_i}$
$S_0$	$\Theta_{S_0}$	Genic	Genic	.7	Genic	AT	.9
Genic	.5	Genic	Intergenic	.3	Genic	CG	.1
Intergenic	.5	Intergenic	Genic	.3	Intergenic	$\mathbf{AT}$	.2
		Intergenic	Intergenic	.7	Intergenic	CG	.8

1. Use the forward algorithm to calculate the predictive posterior distribution over  $S_1 \dots S_4$  given the following observations: AT, AT, CG, AT.

Table 1: The forward messages.						
Time $t$	$P(S_t = \text{genic} O_1 \dots O_t)$	$P(S_t = \text{intergenic} O_1 \dots O_t)$				
1	0.8182	0.1818				
2	0.8834	0.1166				
3	0.1907	0.8093				
4	0.7308	0.2692				

2. Use the backward algorithm to calculate the smoothed posterior distribution over  $S_1$  given the observations: AT, AT. Note that we can reuse the forward message from the previous question. So we just need to compute the backward message.

$$\begin{split} P(O_2 = \mathrm{AT}|S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) &= \sum_{S_2} P(O_2 = \mathrm{AT}|S_2) P(S_2|S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) P(O_3 \dots O_t|S_2) \\ & \text{We do not have any more observations, so we can drop the third term.} \\ &= P(O_2 = \mathrm{AT}|S_2 = \mathrm{genic}) P(S_2 = \mathrm{genic}|S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) + \\ & P(O_2 = \mathrm{AT}|S_2 = \mathrm{intergenic}) P(S_2 = \mathrm{intergenic}|S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) \\ &= .9(.7) + .2(.3) \\ &= .69 \end{split}$$

Similarly,  $P(O_2 = AT|S_1 = intergenic) = .41$ . Combining this with the forward message, we get that

$$P(S_1 = \text{genic}|O_1 = \text{AT}, O_2 = \text{AT}) \propto 0.8182(0.69), \text{ and}$$
  
 $P(S_1 = \text{intergenic}|O_1 = \text{AT}, O_2 = \text{AT}) \propto 0.1818(0.41).$ 

Multiplying and then normalizing gives that

$$P(S_1 = \text{genic}|O_1 = \text{AT}, O_2 = \text{AT}) \approx 0.883$$
, and  
 $P(S_1 = \text{intergenic}|O_1 = \text{AT}, O_2 = \text{AT}) \approx 0.117.$ 

3. Use the Viterbi algorithm to find the most likely instantiation of  $S_1 
dots S_4$  given the observations: AT, AT, CG, CG. To find the most likely instantiation of  $S_1$ , we need to find the value of  $S_0$  which maximizes  $P(S_1 = s_1, S_0 | O_1 = AT)$  for each value of  $S_1$ .

First, we consider when  $S_1 = \text{genic.}$ 

$$\begin{split} P(S_1 = s_1 | O_1 = \mathrm{AT}) &\propto P(O_1 = \mathrm{AT} | S_0, S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) P(S_1 = \mathrm{genic} | S_0) \\ &= P(O_1 = \mathrm{AT} | S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) \max_{S_0} P(S_1 = \mathrm{genic} | S_0) P(S_0) \\ & \text{We now consider } S_0 = \mathrm{genic} \\ &= P(O_1 = \mathrm{AT} | S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) P(S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) P(S_0 = \mathrm{genic}) \\ &= .9(.7)(.5) \\ &= 0.315 \\ & \text{We now consider } S_0 = \mathrm{intergenic} \\ &= P(O_1 = \mathrm{AT} | S_1 = \mathrm{genic}) P(S_1 = \mathrm{genic} | S_0 = \mathrm{intergenic}) P(S_0 = \mathrm{intergenic}) \\ &= .9(.3)(.5) \\ &= 0.135 \\ & \text{We take the max over } S_0 \text{ and find that } P(S_1 = s_1 | O_1 = \mathrm{AT}) \propto 0.315. \end{split}$$

A similar set of calculations shows that  $P(S_1 = s_1 | O_1 = AT) \propto 0.070$ . Since this is the first state on the path, we normalize these values to find that  $P(S_1 | O_1 = AT) \approx < 0.8182, 0.1818 >$ .

To find the most likely instantiation of  $S_2$ , we need to find the value of  $S_1$  which maximizes  $P(S_2 = s_2, S_1 | O_1 = AT, O_2 = AT$  for each value of  $S_2$ .

First, we consider when  $S_2 = \text{genic.}$ 

$$\begin{split} P(S_2 = s_2, S_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}, O_2 = \operatorname{AT}) &\propto P(O_2 = \operatorname{AT} | S_2 = \operatorname{genic}) P(S_2 = \operatorname{genic} | S_1) \max_{s_0} P(s_0, s_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}) \\ &= P(O_2 = \operatorname{AT} | S_2 = \operatorname{genic}) \max_{S_1} P(S_2 = \operatorname{genic} | S_1) \max_{s_0} P(s_0, s_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}) \\ & \text{We now consider } S_1 = \operatorname{genic} \\ &= P(O_2 = \operatorname{AT} | S_2 = \operatorname{genic}) P(S_2 = \operatorname{genic} | S_1 = \operatorname{genic}) \max_{s_0} P(s_0, s_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}) \\ &= .9(.7)(.8182) \\ &= 0.5155 \\ & \text{We now consider } S_1 = \operatorname{intergenic} \\ &= P(O_2 = \operatorname{AT} | S_2 = \operatorname{genic}) P(S_2 = \operatorname{genic} | S_0 = \operatorname{intergenic}) \max_{s_0} P(s_0, s_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}) \\ &= .9(.3)(.1818) \\ &= 0.0491 \\ & \text{We take the max over } S_1 \text{ and find that } P(S_2 = \operatorname{genic}, S_1 = s_1 | O_1 = \operatorname{AT}, O_2 = \operatorname{AT}) \propto 0.5155. \end{split}$$

A similar set of calculations shows that  $P(S_2 = \text{intergenic}, S_1 = s_1 | O_1 = \text{AT}, O_2 = \text{AT}) \propto 0.0491$ . Since this is not the first state on the path, these values give us the probabilities of the paths and do not need to be normalized.

Similar calculations show that the values for all of the states are as follows.

Table 2: The path probabilities.						
Time $t$	$P(S_1 \dots S_t = \text{genic} O_1 \dots O_{t-1})$	$P(S_1 \dots S_t = \text{intergenic}   O_1 \dots O_{t-1})$				
1	0.8182	0.1818				
2	0.5155	0.0491				
3	0.0361	0.1237				
4	0.0037	0.0693				

## Some useful equations

#### The forward algorithm

 $P(\text{next state}|\text{observations so far, next observation}) \propto P(\text{next observation}|\text{next state}) \sum_{\text{current state}} P(\text{next state}|\text{current state}) P(\text{current state}|\text{observations so far})$ 

$$P(S_{t+1}|O_1, O_2, \dots, O_{t+1}) \propto P(O_{t+1}|S_{t+1}) \sum_{S_t=s_t} P(S_{t+1}|S_t) P(S_t=s_t|O_1, \dots, O_t)$$

#### The backward algorithm

$$P(S_k|O_1,\ldots,O_t) \propto \texttt{forward}(k)P(O_{k+1},\ldots,O_t||S_k)$$

 $P(\text{remaining observations}| \text{ current state}) = \sum_{\text{next state}} P(\text{next state}|\text{current state}) P(\text{next observation}| \text{ next state}) P(\text{further observations}|\text{next state}) P(O_{k+1}, \dots, O_t| S_k) = \sum_{S_{k+1}=s_{k+1}} P(S_{k+1}=s_{k+1}|S_k) P(O_{k+1}|S_{k+1}) P(O_{k+2}, \dots, O_t| S_{k+1}=s_{k+1})$ 

### The Viterbi algorithm

 $\max_{\text{path so far}} P(\text{path so far, next state in path}| \text{ observations so far, next observation})$ 

$$\propto P(\text{next observation} \mid \text{next state}) \left\{ \max_{\text{current state}} P(\text{next state} \mid \text{current state}) \left\{ \max_{\text{previous states}} P(\text{previous states}, \text{current state} \mid \text{observations so far}) \right\} \right\}$$
$$\max_{s_1 \dots s_t} P(s_1 \dots s_t, S_{t+1} \mid O_1 \dots O_{t+1}) \propto P(O_{t+1} \mid S_{t+1}) \left\{ \max_{s_t} P(S_{t+1} \mid s_t) \left\{ \max_{s_1 \dots s_{t-1}} P(s_1 \dots s_{t-1}, s_t \mid O_1 \dots O_t) \right\} \right\}$$