

1. Give sequent calculus proof in **G0ip** (this means **G0i** restricted to the propositional part, that is, the part without the quantifier rules) of $\Rightarrow C$, where C is

- (a) $(A \supset B) \supset (\sim B \supset \sim A)$ (contraposition)
- (b) $A \vee B \supset \sim(\sim A \& \sim B)$
- (c) $\sim(A \supset B) \supset \sim\sim A \& \sim B$
- (d) $\sim A \vee B \supset (A \supset B)$

2. Prove that in **G0ip** each context-independent rule is interderivable with its context-sharing version:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow A \& B} R\&_{ind} \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta, A \vee B \Rightarrow C} L\vee_{ind} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta, A \supset B \Rightarrow C} L\supset_{ind} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, A \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} Cut_{ind}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \& B} R\&_{sh} \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \vee B \Rightarrow C} L\vee_{sh} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \supset B \Rightarrow C} L\supset_{sh} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma, A \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma \Rightarrow C} Cut_{sh}
 \end{array}$$

3. Show that reductio ad absurdum (*Raa*) is derivable using the natural deduction rules for intuitionistic logic and the rule of excluded middle (*Em*).

$\sim A$
 \vdots
 \perp

(Hint: assume that you have the derivation \perp and derive A .)

4. Prove in natural deduction for classical logic (intuitionistic logic + *Em*):

- (a) $\sim(\sim A \& \sim B) \supset A \vee B$
- (b) $(A \supset B) \supset \supset (\sim A \vee B)$
- (c) $((A \supset B) \supset A) \supset A$ (Peirce's law)
- (d) $(A \supset B \vee C) \supset (A \supset B) \vee (A \supset C)$ (disjunction property under hypothesis)

5. Give sequent calculus proof in **G3cp** of $\Rightarrow D$, where D is

- (a) $\sim(\sim A \& \sim B) \supset A \vee B$
- (b) $(A \supset B) \supset \supset (\sim A \vee B)$
- (c) $((A \supset B) \supset A) \supset A$ (Peirce's law)
- (d) $(A \supset B \vee C) \supset (A \supset B) \vee (A \supset C)$ (disjunction property under hypothesis)