





# Fusion of Relational and Graph Database Techniques: An Emerging Trend

DASFAA 2023 Tutorial Apr 19, 2023

Yu Liu, Qingsong Guo, Jiaheng Lu Beijing Jiaotong University, North University of China, University of Helsinki

# OUTLINE

- 1. Relational and Graph Data Modelling (10 minutes)
- 2. Multi-Model Queries (20 minutes)
- 3. Join and Subgraph Matching (15 minutes)
- 4. Fusion of Query Processing Techniques (40 minutes)
- 5. Open problems and challenges (5 minutes)

# **01** Relational and Graph Data Modelling

Data modelling is a never-ending story. We will review the history of relational and graph data modelling.

- The relational model and its extensions
- The graph data models

### **Big Data Modelling**

- Data modelling is a Never-Ending Story
  - Data model enables a user to define the data using high-level constructs without worrying about low-level details of how data will be stored on disk
- Many data models proposed to address the variety of big data
  - Structured data (our focus)
    - All data conforms to a predefined schema, e.g., business data
  - Semi-structured data
    - Some structure in the data but implicit and irregular, e.g., XML and JSON
  - Unstructured data
    - No structure in data, e.g., text, sound, images, videos

## **Data Models**

- Relational: 1970's
- Entity-Relationship: 1970's
  - Successful in logical database design
- Extended Relational: 1980's
- Semantic: late 1970's and 1980's
- Object-oriented: late 1980's and early 1990's
  - Address impedance mismatch: relational dbs and OO languages
- Object-relational: late 1980's and early 1990's
  - User-defined types, ops, functions, and access methods
- Semi-structured: late 1990's and 2000's
- Graph: 1990's to the present

#### **The Relational Model**

The dominant data model over last 5 decades

- A relation is **a subset of Cartesian product** and logically represented as un-ordered tuples and each record is uniquely identified by a key
- Table, columns(attributes), rows (tuples)
- Domain, cardinality, etc.
- Cannot nest one tuple within another



### **The Relational Extensions**

The relational model can be described by 3 components:

- **Primitive types:** number, string, Boolean, Date, null, etc.
- **Relational constructor** used on the primitive types
- A set of **operators** that can be used to each primitive type and type constructor
- The relational model can be extended correspondingly
- Nested relational model
  - Remove the restriction of 1NF
  - Nested type constructors that allow building nested relations from atomic types by using tuple constructors and set constructors
- Object-relational model
  - Separates set and tuple of the relational constructor and support object
- JSON
  - includes other type constructors such as lists, multisets, arrays, etc.

### **Semistructured Data**

Self-describing by associating semantic tags or markers and enforce hierarchies of records and fields by nesting elements within the data.

• XML, json, protobuf, Parquet, etc.

Can be viewed as relational extensions with restriction removal

- Complex types: arrays, (nested) tuples, maps
- Rigid schema is not necessary

#### Relational data model

- Rigid flat structure(tables)
- Schema must be fixed in advanced
- Binary representation: good for performance, bad for exchange
- Query language based on Relational Calculus

#### Semistructured data model

- Flexible, nested structure(trees)
- Schemaless("self-describing")
- Richer types, e.g., text representation: good for exchange, bad for performance
- Query language borrows from automata theory

#### JSON as an example

#### **Primitive values**

- A string, which looks like "Hello"
- A number, which looks like 42 or -3.14159
- true or false
- null

#### **Structured values**

 Object: a list of name-value pairs (i.e., fields) { "partno": 461,

```
"description": "Wrench"
```

```
}
```

- Array: an ordered list of items
  - [1, 2.5, "Hello", true, null]

The items in an array and the values in the fields of an object can be any JSON values, arrays and objects.

### **Data Viewed as Graphs**

A graph consists of a set of vertices V and edges E

- A generalization of the relational model and semi-structured model Original intuition:
- Entities (objects) are represented as nodes
- Relationships are represented as edges
- Therefore, nodes and edges have associated types, and attributes

Many variations in circulation

- Kind of edges?
  - Directed, undirected
- Where is data?
  - Only on nodes, only on edges, on both
- Shape of graph?
  - Arbitrary (has cycles), directed acyclic graph (DAG), tree

### **Two Schemes for Graph Modelling**

#### Node-labeled scheme: nodes are labeled with types (book, author, title) and/or data (strings)



#### Edge-labeled scheme: edges are labeled with types (book, author, title) and/or data (strings) book author title author author "Suciu" "Abiteboul" "Data on the Web" "Buneman"

### Nodes and Edges both Labeled with Data and Type

A combination of the node-labeled and edge-labeled schemes:

- both nodes and edges are labeled with types (book, author, title) and/or data (strings)
- E.g., node labels: *book*, edge labels: *author* and *title*, data: "Abiteboul", "Buneman", "Suciu", and "Data on the Web"



### **Edge-Labeled Graph: RDF**

- Edge-labeled graph (N, E, L)
  - RDF triple: <subject, predicate, object>
  - Knowledge graph
  - Query language: SPARQL

#### RDF triples:

< Abiteboul, authorOf, "Data on the Web"> < Buneman, authorOf, "Data on the Web"> < Suciu, authorOf, "Data on the Web">



### Node-Labeled Graph: Property Graph

- Property graph model (PGM)
  - Represents data as a directed, attributed multi-graph.
  - Vertices and edges are rich objects with a set of labels and a set of key-value pairs, socalled properties, e.g., *Type:Human*
  - Semantics of the directions is up to the applications
  - Cypher/openCypher, Gremlin, etc.



### **Multi-Model Database Systems**

| Rank (Apr 2023) | DBMS                 | Supported Data Models                           |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 1.              | Oracle               | Relational, Document, Graph, RDF, Spatial       |
| 2.              | MySQL                | Relational, Document, Spatial                   |
| 3.              | Microsoft SQL Server | Relational, Document, Graph                     |
| 4.              | PostgreSQL           | Relational, Document, Spatial                   |
| 5.              | MongoDB              | Documents, Spatial, Time Series, Search Engine  |
| 6.              | Redis                | KV, Document, Graph, Spatial, TS, Search Engine |
| 7.              | IBM Db2              | Relational, Document, RDF, Spatial              |
| 8.              | Elasticsearch        | Search engine, Document, Spatial                |
| 9.              | SQLite               | Relational                                      |
| 10              | Microsoft Access     | Relational                                      |

By 2017, all leading operational DBMSs offer multiple data models, relational and NoSQL, in a single DBMS platform. - Gartner report for operational databases 2016

The **DB-Engines Ranking** ranks DBMSs according to their popularity. The ranking is updated monthly.

8 Multi-Model DBMSs in top-10 (124 out of 414 in total)

#### **Multi-Model Data and Query**



**Recommendation query Q**: Return all products which are ordered by a friend of a customer whose credit limit is over 3000!

### **Multi-Model Query in ArangoDB**

ArangoDB is designed as a native multi-model database, supporting key/value, document and graph models.

```
LET CustomerIDs =(

FOR Customer IN Customers

FILTER Customer.CreditLimit > 3000

RETURN Customer.id)

LET FriendIDs=(FOR CustomerID IN CustomerIDs

FOR Friend IN 1..1 OUTBOUND CustomerID Knows

RETURN Friend.id)

FOR Friend IN FriendIDs

FOR Order IN 1..1 OUTBOUND Friend Customer2Order
```

RETURN Order.orderlines[\*].Product\_no

Q: Return all products which are ordered by a friend of a customer whose credit limit is over 3000!

### **MMQ in OrientDB**

#### OrientDB

- Supporting graph, document, key/value and object models.
- It supports schema-less, schema-full and schema-mixed modes.

SELECT EXPAND(OUT("Knows").Orders.orderlines.Product\_no)
FROM Customers
WHERE CreditLimit > 3000

Q: Return all products which are ordered by a friend of a customer whose credit limit is over 3000!

## Challenges

#### Challenges are two-fold:

- Designing a language to express multi-model data queries (MMQs)
  - An MMQ is a mixture of the relational query, path query, graph pattern matching, etc.
- Cross-model query processing strategies
  - The **mediator-wrapper** fashion in Polystores/Multistores
    - Relies heavily on data exchange workflow and hence costly
  - A holistic evaluation in MMDB systems
    - In this tutorial, we focus on the techniques dealing with the *relational and graph data*
    - There is an emerging trend that a fusion of relational and graph database techniques

### References

- Renzo Angles, Claudio Gutierrez. Survey of graph database models. ACM Comput. Surv. 40(1): 1:1-1:39 (2008)
- Paolo Atzeni, Francesca Bugiotti, Luca Cabibbo, Riccardo Torlone. Data modeling in the NoSQL world. Comput. Stand. Interfaces 67 (2020)
- E. F. Codd. A relational model of data for large shared data banks. Commun. ACM, 13(6):377–387, 1970.
- A. Deutsch and Y. Papakonstantinou. Graph data models, query languages and programming paradigms. Proc. VLDB Endow., 11(12):2106–2109, 2018.
- A. Doan, A. Y. Halevy, and Z. G. Ives. Principles of Data Integration. Morgan
- Kaufmann, 2012.
- J. Duggan, A. J. Elmore, M. Stonebraker, M. Balazinska, B. Howe, J. Kepner, S. Madden, D. Maier, T. Mattson, and S. B. Zdonik. The bigdawg polystore system. SIGMOD Rec., 44(2):11–16, 2015.
- J. Lu and I. Holubová. Multi-model data management: What's new and what's next? In Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT 2017, Venice, Italy, March 21-24, 2017, pages 602–605. OpenProceedings.org, 2017.
- J. Lu and I. Holubová. Multi-model Databases: A new journey to handle the variety of data. ACM Computing Surveys, 52(3), 2019.
- J. Lu, I. Holubová, and B. Cautis. Multi-model databases and tightly integrated polystores: Current practices, comparisons, and open challenges. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM '18, pages 2301– 2302, New York, NY, USA, 2018. Association for Computing Machinery.
- M. Saeed, M. Villarroel, A. Reisner, G. Clifford, L.-w. Lehman, G. Moody, T. Heldt, T. Kyaw, B. Moody, and R. Mark. Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care II (Mimic-II): A Public-Access Intensive Care Unit Database. Critical care medicine, 39:952–60, 05 2011.
- Marc H Scholl: Extensions to the relational data model. In: Advances in Conceptual Modelling, Databases and CASE: An Integrated View of Information Systems Development, pp. 163–182 (1992)
- M. Stonebraker and J. Hellerstein. What Goes Around Comes Around. In "Readings in Database Systems" (aka the Red Book). 4th ed.

## 02 Multi-Model Queries (20 minutes)

We will briefly present the multi-model queries and languages

- The relational query languages and their extensions
- The semi-structured query languages and their extensions
- The graph query languages and their extensions

### **Multi-Model Queries**

A multi-model query (MMQ) may consist of the following types of fundamental queries

- Relational queries
- Graph pattern matching
- Path queries
- Aggregations
- Key-Value lookups
- ...

An MMQ is a mixture of the above types of queries by cross-model joins

- No commonly accepted definition yet.

### **Relational Queries**

- SWF syntax (SELECT-WHERE-FROM)
  - Select, Projection, Join (SPJ)
  - Conjunctive Queries (CQs)
- Aggregation
- Query languages:
  - Relational algebra (RA)
  - Relational calculus (RC)

– SQL

#### Conjunctive query (CQ) :

- Written in conjunctive form (without using  $\forall, \lor, \neg$ ):  $q(x_1,...,x_n) = \exists y_1. \exists y_2... \exists y_p. (R_1(t_{11},...,t_{1m}) \land ...)$  $\land R_k(t_{k1},...,t_{km}))$
- Written in **Datalog** notation:  $q(x_1,...,x_n) := R_1(t_{11},...,t_{1m}), ..., R_k(t_{k1},...,t_{km}))$

### **Formal Relational Query Languages**

A query Language has equivalent expressive power with RA and RC is said to be **Relational Complete**.

- Relational Algebra
  - Select, Project, Union, Set different, Cartesian product, Rename
  - More operational(procedural), and always used as an internal representation for query evaluation plans
- Relational Calculus
  - Tuple Relational Calculus: filtering variable ranges over tuples {T | Condition}
    - Alpha: proposed by Codd in 1971; QUEL: INGRES 1975
    - { T.name | Author(T) AND T.article = 'database' }
  - Domain Relational Calculus: the filtering variable uses the domain of attributes instead of entire tuple values, { a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, ..., a<sub>n</sub> | P (a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, ..., a<sub>n</sub>)}
    - {< article, page, subject > | ∈ TutorialsPoint ∧ subject = 'database'}

## **SQL(Structured Query Languages)**

- SQL is a standard language for querying and manipulating data
  - RA and RC form the basis for "real" languages like SQL
- SQL is a very high-level (or declarative) programming language
  - This works because it is optimized well!
- Many standards out there (vendors support various subsets):
  - ANSI SQL, SQL92 (a.k.a. SQL2), SQL99 (a.k.a. SQL3), ....
- Query syntax
  - SWF syntax (SELECT-WHERE-FROM)
    - Select, Projection, Join (SPJ)
  - Aggregation
  - Recursion (CTE)

NB: One the world's most successful programming language

#### **CQs and Relational Queries**

Are CQ queries precisely the SELECT-DISTINCT-FROM-WHERE queries ?

A(x) :- ManagedBy("Smith",y), ManagedBy(x,y)

SELECT DISTINCT m2.name FROM ManagedBy m1, ManagedBy m2 WHERE m1.name="Smith" AND m1.manager=m2.manager

Relational Algebra:

• CQ correspond precisely to  $s_c$ ,  $P_A$ ,  $\times$  (missing:  $\cup$ , –)



### **Graph Queries**

Pioneered by academic work on CQ extensions for graphs (in the 90's)

#### • Graph pattern

- Small subgraph of interests
- Can be also defined as conjunctive queries over the relational representation of graph data
- (x, hasWon, Nobel), (x, hasWon, Booker)
- Path query for navigating along connected edges

-x, citizenOf | ((bornIn | livesIn) locatedIn\*), y

- Variables for manipulating data found during navigation
- Aggregation of data encountered during navigation

ightarrow support for bag semantics as prerequisite

#### **Graph Patterns**

#### Graph pattern:

- V={x, y, z, ...}, Alphabet Σ = {friend}
- {(x, friend, y), (y, friend, z), (z, friend, x), (y, friend, x), (z, friend, y), (x, friend, y)}
- E.g, in a social network one can match the pattern to look for a clique of three individuals that are all friends with each other



#### Semantics:

- The semantics of patterns is given using the notion of matching.
- A match of a pattern  $P=(V_{P}, E_{P})$  over a graph  $(V_{G}, E_{G})$  is a **mapping**  $\pi$  from variables to constants.
- Semantics vary according to the mapping functions, such as **homomorphism** or **isomorphism**.

#### **Graph Patterns as Relational Queries**

- Given an alphabet Σ, we define σ(Σ) as the relational schema that consists of one binary predicate symbol E<sub>a</sub>, for each symbol a ∈ Σ.
- Each graph database G=(V, E) can be represented as a relational instance D(G) over  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ 
  - The database D(G) consist of all facts of the form Ea(v, v') such that (v, a, v') is an edge in G (we assume that D includes all the nodes in V)
  - CQ Q(x) =  $\exists y \phi(x, y)$ , x and y are tuples of variables and  $\phi(x, y)$  is a conjunction of relational atoms from  $\sigma$  that use variables from x to y.
  - E.e., Q(x, y, z) = friend(x,y), friend(y,x), friend (x,z), friend(z, x), friend(y,z), friend(z,y)

### **Path Queries**

- Express reachability via constrained paths
- Introduced initially in academic research in early 90s
  - StruQL (AT&T Research, Fernandez, Halevy, Suciu)
  - WebSQL (Mendelzon, Mihaila, Milo)
  - Lorel (Widom et al)
- Today supported by languages of commercial systems
  - XPath/XQuery, SQL++,
  - Cypher, SparQL, Gremlin, GSQL

### Path Query Syntax

Various notations to express path queries

- Dot notation, e.g., SQL++, N1QL
- Axes notation, e.g., XPath/XQuery

#### Adopting here that of SparQL W3C Recommendation.

| Path expressions | $\rightarrow$ | Edge label      |  |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
|                  |               |                 | <pre>// wildcard, any edge label</pre> |
|                  |               | ^ edge label    | // inverse edge                        |
|                  |               | path . path     | <pre>// concatenation</pre>            |
|                  |               | path   path     | // alternation                         |
|                  |               | path*           | // 0 or more reps                      |
|                  |               | path*(min, max) | // at least min, at most max           |
|                  |               | (path)          |  |

## **Path Expression Examples**

- Pairs of customer and product they bought: Bought
- Pairs of customer and product they were involved with (bought or reviewed)
   Bought Reviewed
- Pairs of customers who bought same product (lists customers with themselves)
   Bought.^Bought
- Pairs of customers involved with same product (like-minded) (Bought | Reviewed).(^Bought | ^Reviewed)
- Pairs of customers connected via a chain of like-minded customer pairs ((Bought | Reviewed).(^Bought | ^Reviewed))\*
- Bounded-length traversal

friendOf\*(1,3)

## **Regular Path Queries (RPQ)**

The path query can be defined with various grammars, the most widely adopted one is RPQ:

- RQP(x, y) := (x, R, y), where R is a **regular expression** over the vocabulary of edge labels
- the semantics is defined in terms of sets of node pairs (x, y), where there exists a path in G from x to y whose concatenated labels spell out a word in L(PE)
- L(PE) = language accepted by PE when seen as regular expression over alphabet of edge labels

#### Construction of regular expressions:

• R ::= s | R.R | (R | R) | (R) | R? | R\* | R+ // s element from S

#### Examples:

- Ancestors: isChildOf+
- Cousins: isChildOf, isChildOf, hasChild, hasChild

### **Conjunctive Regular Path Queries**

#### RQPs can be further extended to Conjunctive Regular Path Queries (CRPQs)

- Replace relational atoms appearing in CQs with path expressions.
- Explicitly introduce variables binding to source and target nodes of path expressions.

#### • Examples:

- Pairs of customers who have bought same product (do not list a customer with herself):

Q1(c1,c2) :- c1 –Bought.^Bought-> c2, c1 != c2

- Customers who have bought and also reviewed a product:

Q2(c) :- c -Bought-> p, c -Reviewed-> p

ANS(x,y) := (x, hasWon, Nobel), (x, hasWon, Booker), (x, (citizenOf | ((bornIn | livesIn) locatedIn\*)), y)

### **RPQ Examples**



#### RPQ = a+(d|c)be

- acbe: (2,4), (4,5), (5,7), (7,9)
- aacbe: (1,2), (2,4), (4,5), (5,7), (7,9)

#### Pattern: (x, a, y), (y, e, z), (z, ?, x)

• triangle: (7,8), (8,5), (5,7)

#### CRPQ: (x, a, y), (y, e, z), (z, ?c+(d|b), x)

- cycle: (7,8), (8,5), (5,7)
- cycle: (7,8), (8,5), (5,4), (4,7)
- cycle: (7,8), (8,5), (5,4), (4,6), (6,7)

### Case Study 1: SQL++

- SQL++ : A Backwards-Compatible SQL , which can access a SQL extension with nested and semi-structured data
- Queries exhibit XQuery and OQL abilities, yet backwards compatible with SQL-92
- Supports relation and JSON
- Simpler than XML and the XQuery data model
- Unlike labeled trees (the favorite XML abstraction of XPath and XQuery research) makes the distinction between tuple constructor and list/array/bag constructor

SQL++: <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.3631</u> <u>http://db.ucsd.edu/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/375.pdf</u>
### **SQL++** Data Model

#### Can think of as extension of SQL

Extend with arrays + nesting + heterogeneity by following JSON's notation



#### Can also think of as extension of JSON

- Use single quotes for literals
- Extended with **bags** and **enriched types**



## **SQL++ Query Syntax**

#### BNF Grammar for SQL++ queries

- Semi-structured query
- SFW query:
  - SELECT-FROM-WHERE (SFW)
  - Complex: tuple, collection or map
- Expression query:
  - Operator expressions
    - Path expression

| SQL++ QUERY            | $\rightarrow$ | SFW QUERY<br>  EXPRESSION_QUERY  |
|------------------------|---------------|--|
| SFW_QUERY              | $\rightarrow$ | SELECT [DISTINCT]   [FROM]   [WHERE]<br>  [GROUP BY]   [HAVING]<br>  [ORDER BY]   [LIMIT]   [OFFSET]   |
| EXPRESSION             | $\rightarrow$ | OperatorExpression<br>  QuantifiedExpression   |
| Operator<br>Expression | →             | PathExpression<br>  Operator OperatorExpression<br>  OperatorExpression Operator<br>(OperatorExpression)?<br> OperatorExpression <between><br/>OperatorExpression <and><br/>OperatorExpression</and></between> |

#### Path Navigation in SQL++

Two types path navigations:

- 1. Tuple path navigation *t.a* from the tuple *t* to its attribute a returns the value of a
- 2. Array path navigation *a*[*i*] returns the *i*-th element of the array *a*

<r:{ ci: 1.2, no: [0.5, 2] }>

@tuple\_nav {absent: missing, type\_mismatch: null} @array\_nav {absent: missing, type\_mismatch: null}

([r.co, r.so, 7.co, r.no[1], r.no[3], r.co[1]])

#### **Backwards Compatibility with SQL**

Find sensors that recorded a temperature below 50:

```
readings : {{
    { sid: 2, temp: 70.1 },
    { sid: 2, temp: 49.2 },
    { sid: 1, temp: null }
}}
```

| sid | temp |
|-----|------|
| 2   | 70.1 |
| 2   | 49.2 |
| 1   | null |



## Case Study 2: ArangoDB Query Language (AQL)

#### A native multi-model DBMS that supports

- Graph
- Key-value
- Json

#### Doing queries with AQL

- Data retrieval with filtering, sorting and more
- Simple graph queries
- Traversing through a graph with different options
- Shortest path queries

| SQL         | AQL   |
|-------------|---|
| database    | database  |
| table       | collection  |
| row         | document  |
| column      | attribute   |
| table joins | collection joins                                      |
| primary key | primary key (automatically present on _key attribute) |
| index       | index   |

#### **AQL query syntax**

Query syntax (FOR-FILTER-RETUREN)

- Selecting all rows / documents from a table / collection, with all columns / attributes
- Filtering rows / documents from a table / collection, with projection
- Sorting rows / documents from a table / collection

```
FOR user IN users
  RETURN user
FOR user IN users
FILTER user.active == 1
  RETURN {
    name: CONCAT(user.firstName, " ",
        user.lastName),
    gender: user.gender
  }
```

FOR user IN users FILTER user.active == 1 SORT user.name, user.gender RETURN user

#### **AQL JOINS**

ArangoDB has its own implementation of JOINS.

 Inner join can be expressed easily in AQL by nesting FOR loops and using FILTER statements:

FOR user IN users FOR friend IN friends FILTER friend.user == user.\_key RETURN MERGE(user, friend)

• **Outer join** are not directly supported in AQL, but can be implemented using subqueries:

```
FOR user IN users
LET friends = (
   FOR friend IN friends
   FILTER friend.user == user._key
   RETURN friend
)
FOR friendToJoin IN (
   LENGTH(friends) > 0 ? friends :[ { } ]
        /* no match exists */
)
RETURN { user: user, friend: friend }
```

## **AQL Graph Traversal**

- Traverse to the parents
- Traverse to the children
- Traverse to the grandchildren
- Traverse with variable depth

NB: This FOR loop doesn't iterate over a collection or an array, it walks the graph and iterates over the connected vertices it finds, with the vertex document assigned to a variable (here: v).

FOR v IN 1..1 OUTBOUND "Characters/2901776" ChildOf RETURN v.name

FOR c IN Characters FILTER c.name == "Ned" FOR v IN 1..1 INBOUND c ChildOf RETURN v.name

FOR c IN Characters FILTER c.name == "Tywin" FOR v IN 2..2 INBOUND c ChildOf RETURN v.name

FOR c IN Characters FILTER c.name == "Joffrey" FOR v IN 1..2 OUTBOUND c ChildOf RETURN DISTINCT v.name

## **Case Study 3: OrientDB Query Language (OrientQL)**

#### OrientDB is a Multi-Model Database

- Document, Graph, Spatial, FullText
- Tables -> Classes
- Extended SQL
- Each element (vertex and edge) is a JSON document
- Each element in the Graph has own immutable Record ID, such as #13:55, #22:11
- Connections use persistent pointers



#### **OrientQL**

OrientDB supports SQL as a query language with some differences:

SELECT city, sum(salary) AS salary FROM Employee GROUP BY city HAVING salary > 1000

Q: Get all the outgoing vertices connected with edges with label (class) "Eats" and "Favourited" from all the Restaurant vertices in Rome

SELECT out('Eats', 'Favorited') FROM Restaurant WHERE city = 'Rome'

#### **OrientQL Graph Traversal**



This uses an index to retrieve the starting vertex (#12:468) vertex

#### **OrientQL: Graph Traversal**



## **OrientDB Graph Traversal and Pattern Matching**

#### Traversal

In a social network-like domain, a user profile is connected to friends through links.

- TRAVERSE out("Friend")
- FROM #10:1234 WHILE \$depth <= 3
- **STRATEGY** BREADTH\_FIRST

#### **Pattern Matching**

MATCH {class: Person, WHERE: (name = 'Abel'), AS: me} -friendOf->{}-friendOf>{AS: foaf}, {AS: me}-friendOf->{AS: foaf} RETURN me.name AS myName, foaf.name AS foafName



## Case Study 4: AgensGraph Query Language (AgensQL)

A forked project of PostgreSQL (v9.6.2) supports

- Relational data, property graph, and JSON documents
- Integrated querying using SQL (Relational data) and Cypher (Graph data)
- Extended property graph model
- Data objects
  - Graph
  - Vertex and edge
  - Each vertex and edge can have a JSON document as its property

#### • Label hierarchy

- Vertexes and edges can be grouped into labels (e.g. person, student, teacher, ...)
- Labels are organized as a hierarchy



### **RPQ with AgensGraph**

RPQ can be written as Variable-length Edge (VLE) Query

- Can be implemented using recursive common table expression (CTE) in SQL
- But CTE is inefficient for VLE query
  - Using CTE is BFS (Breadth First Search)-style processing
  - BFS processing needs to buffer intermediate results

#### **VLE with Cypher:**

MATCH p=(x)-[:**Parent**\*]->(y) RETURN (x), (y), length(p) ORDER BY (y), (x),length(p) MATCH (x)-[\*1..5]->(y) RETURN x, y;



### Reference

- ArangoDB Query Language(AQL). <u>https://www.arangodb.com/docs/stable/aql/index.html</u>.
- C. Zhang and J. Lu. Holistic evaluation in multi-model databases benchmarking. Distributed and Parallel Databases, pages 1–33, 2019.
- C. Zhang, J. Lu, P. Xu, and Y. Chen. UniBench: A Benchmark for Multi-model Database Management Systems. In TPCTC '18, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, August 27-31, 2018, Revised Selected Papers, volume 11135 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 7–23. Springer, 2018.
- S. Alsubaiee, Y. Altowim, H. Altwaijry, A. Behm, V. R. Borkar, Y. Bu, M. J. Carey, I. Cetindil, M. Cheelangi, K. Faraaz, E. Gabrielova, R. Grover, Z. Heilbron, Y. Kim, C. Li, G. Li, J. M. Ok, N. Onose, P. Pirzadeh, V. J. Tsotras, R. Vernica, J. Wen, and T. Westmann. AsterixDB: A scalable, open source BDMS. Proc. VLDB Endow., 7(14):1905–1916, 2014.
- R. Angles, M. Arenas, P. Barceló, A. Hogan, J. L. Reutter, and D. Vrgoc. Foundations of modern query languages for graph databases. ACM Comput. Surv., 50(5):68:1–68:40, 2017.
- K. W. Ong, Y. Papakonstantinou, and R. Vernoux. The SQL++ semi-structured data model and query language: A capabilities survey of SQL-on-Hadoop, NoSQL and NewSQL databases. CoRR, abs/1405.3631, 2014.
- P. T. Wood. Query languages for graph databases. SIGMOD Rec., 41(1):50–60, 2012.

# **03** Join and Subgraph Matching

We will discuss different types of join algorithms, including:

- Binary joins
- Worst-case optimal joins
- Subgraph matching algorithms

## **Binary Joins**

- Consider  $R(A, B) \bowtie S(B, C) \bowtie T(A, C)$ 
  - Traditional database systems are typically only able to join two tables at once
  - Pick your two favorite tables and join them to get an intermediate relation, then join that with another table, and so on (until we get a single table)
  - This join process can be represented by a join tree



- Many commercial RDBMSs and GDBMSs adopt binary joins
- It is suboptimal when dealing with queries involving complex "cyclic joins" over many-to-many relationships, since the intermediate results might be unnecessarily large

Adapted from Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2)

## Joins are Secretly Graph Processing Algorithms

- Consider  $R(A, B) \bowtie S(B, C)$ 
  - Represent these tables as a graph, where each named column corresponds to a typed set of vertices
  - If you enumerate all the paths that start from a vertex in a, go to a vertex in b, and wind up on a vertex in c, you'll find that set of such paths is precisely the join results (structure finding in graph)



Adapted from Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2)

## Worst-Case Optimal (WCO) Joins

- Let us consider the triangle counting problem in a graph G
- Representing the graph as a table g(from, to)
- And join the table with itself twice (equivalent to R(A, B) ⋈ S(B, C) ⋈ T(A, C))

```
SELECT
  g1.f AS a, g1.t AS b, g2.t AS c
FROM
  g AS g1, g AS g2, g AS g3
WHERE
  g1.t = g2.f AND g2.t = g3.t AND g1.f = g3.f;
```

- It turns out that a graph with O(n) edges will have no more than O(n<sup>1.5</sup>) triangles in it
- For binary joins, there are graphs where that first intermediate join will always have O(n<sup>2</sup>) rows in it, no matter which two tables we choose to join first.

Adapted from Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2)

## Worst-Case Optimal (WCO) Joins

- Let us reconsider  $R(A, B) \bowtie S(B, C) \bowtie T(A, C)$
- Column-at-a-time "Worst-case Optimal" Join Algorithms
  - Instead of picking a join order for tables, we pick a column order and perform the join column at a time
  - Step 1: Find all a's. Here we will just take all nodes as possible a values.
  - Step 2: For each a value, e.g., a=1, we extend it to find all ab's that can be part of triangles: Here we use the forward index to look up all b values for node with ID 1. This will generate the second intermediate relation.
  - Step 3: For each ab value, e.g., the tuple (a=1 b=0), we will intersect all c's with a=1, and all c's with b=0 (k-way intersections). That is, we will intersect the backward adjacency list of the node with ID 1, and forward adjacency list of the node with ID 0. If the intersection is non-empty, we produce some triangles.

Adapted from Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (<u>https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2</u>), and Semih Salihoğlu, Why (Graph) DBMSs Need New Join Algorithms: The Story of Worst-case Optimal Join Algorithms (https://kuzudb.com/blog/wcoj.html)



## Worst-Case Optimal (WCO) Joins

- Let us reconsider  $R(A, B) \bowtie S(B, C) \bowtie T(A, C)$
- Column-at-a-time "Worst-case Optimal" Join Algorithms
  - Instead of picking a join order for tables, we pick a column order and perform the join column at a time

#### Worst-case optimal:

- Let *IN* denote the input size of the query *Q*
- The computational cost is  $IN^{\rho^*}$ , where  $\rho^*$  is the fractional edge cover number of Q (the AGM bound)
- For the above query, the cost is  $O(N^{1.5})$

Adapted from Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (<u>https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2</u>), and Semih Salihoğlu, Why (Graph) DBMSs Need New Join Algorithms: The Story of Worst-case Optimal Join Algorithms (https://kuzudb.com/blog/wcoj.html)



### **Subgraph Matching**

- Subgraph Isomorphism: Given a query Q and a data graph G, Q is subgraph isomorphism to G, if and only if there exists an injective function  $f: V(Q) \rightarrow V(G)$ , such that
  - $-\forall u \in V(Q), f(u) \in V(G), L_V(u) = L_V(g(u))$ , where V(Q) and V(G) denotes all vertices in Q and G, respectively; and  $L_V(\cdot)$  denotes the corresponding vertex label.
  - $-\forall \overline{u_1 u_2} \in E(Q), \overline{f(u_1) f(u_2)} \in E(G), L_E(\overline{u_1 u_2}) = L_E(\overline{f(u_1) f(u_2)})$



## **Subgraph Matching**

- Subgraph Isomorphism: Given a query Q and a data graph G, Q is subgraph isomorphism to G, if and only if there exists an injective function  $f: V(Q) \rightarrow V(G)$ , such that
  - $-\forall u \in V(Q), f(u) \in V(G), L_V(u) = L_V(g(u)), \text{ where } V(Q) \text{ and } V(G) \text{ denotes all vertices in } Q \text{ and } G \text{ respectively: and } L_V(\cdot) \text{ denotes the corresponding vertex label.}$
  - Subgraph Isomorphism Testing is NP-complete
    - Decide whether there is a subgraph of G that is isomorphic to Q
  - Enumerating all subgraph isomorphic embeddings is NP-hard
    - Many techniques have been developed for efficient enumeration in practice



### Subgraph Matching – Ullman Algorithm

- Given two graphs Q and G, their corresponding matrices are  $MA_{n \times n}$  and  $MB_{m \times m}$ .
- Goal: 1) Find matrix  $M'_{n \times m}$  such that  $MC = M'(M' \cdot MB)^T \forall i, j, MA[i][j] = 1 \rightarrow MC[i][j] = 1$ 2) or report no such matrix M'.



*MA: the adjacency matrix of query Q MB: the adjacency matrix of graph G M': the matching matrix, which specifies the* isomorphism from Q to a subgraph of G if it exists. (M' specifies an subgraph isomorphism from Q to G.)

Julian R. Ullmann: An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism. J. ACM 23(1): 31-42 (1976)



- M'[i][j] = 1 means that the i-th vertex in Q corresponds to j-th vertex in query G;
- 2) Each row in M' contains exactly one 1;
- No column contains more than one 1. 3)

### Subgraph Matching – Ullman Algorithm

- Step 1. Set up matrix  $M_{n \times m}$ , such that M [i][j]=1, if 1) the i-th vertex in Q has the same label as the j-th vertex in G; and 2) the i-th vertex in Q has smaller vertex degree than the j-th vertex in G.
- Step 2. Matrices M' are generated by systematically changing to 0 all but one of the 1's in each of the rows of M, subject to the definitory condition that no column of a matrix M' may contain more than one 1 (the maximal depth is |MA|).
- Step 3. Verify matrix M' by the following equation:

 $MC = M'(M' \cdot MB)^T$  $\forall i, j \ MA[i][j] = 1 \rightarrow MC[i][j] = 1$ 

• Iterate the above steps and enumerate all possible matrixes M'.

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1                   | 0   | 0                     | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\longrightarrow 0$ | ) 1 | 0                     | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | С                   | 0   | 1                     | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1                   | 0   | <sup>↓</sup> <b>0</b> | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ← 0                 | ) 1 | 0                     | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | С                   | 0   | 1                     | 0 |

### Subgraph Matching – Ullman Algorithm

- Step 1. Set up matrix  $M_{n \times m}$ , such that M[i][j]=1, if 1) the i-th vertex in Q has the same label as the j-th vertex in G; and 2) the i-th vertex in Q has smaller vertex degree than the j-th vertex in G.
- Step 2. Matrices M' are generated by systematically changing to 0 all but one of the 1's in each of the rows
  of M, subject to the definitory condition that no column of a matrix M' may contain more than one 1 (the
  maximal depth is |MA|).
  - Neighborhood Connection Pruning
    - Let the i-th vertex v in Q corresponds to the j-th vertex u in G. Each neighbor vertex of v in Q must correspond to some neighbor vertex of u in G.
       Otherwise, v cannot correspond to u.

### Subgraph Matching – VF2 Algorithm

- Considering two graph Q and G, the (sub)graph isomorphism from Q to G is expressed as the set of pairs (n, m) (with  $n \in Q$  and  $m \in G$ )
- Let s be an intermediate state. Actually, s denotes a partial mapping from Q to G, namely, a mapping from a subgraph of Q to a subgraph of G. These two subgraphs are denoted as Q(s) and G(s), respectively.
- All neighbor vertices to Q(s) in graph Q are denoted as NQ(s), and all neighbor vertices to G(s) in graph G are denoted as NG(s). Candidate pair sets are a subset of NQ(s) × NG(s). Apply structural feasibility rules to prune unpromising candidate pairs.
  - E.g., neighbor connection

$$\begin{split} F(s,n,m) &= F_{structure}(s,n,m) \wedge F_{label}(s,n,m) \\ F(s,n,m) \Leftrightarrow (\forall n' \in (V_1(s) \cap N_1(n,Q))) \\ \exists m' \in (V_2(s) \cap N_2(m,G)) \end{split}$$

 $N_1(n, Q)$ : The neighbors of vertex n in graph Q;  $N_2(m, G)$ : The neighbors of vertex m in graph G;

Luigi P. Cordella, Pasquale Foggia, Carlo Sansone, Mario Vento: A (Sub)Graph Isomorphism Algorithm for Matching Large Graphs. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 26(10): 1367-1372 (2004)

### Subgraph Matching – Multi-Way Join

- Recall that a subgraph query Q is equivalent to a multiway self-join query over edge tables
- Worst-case optimal join



A. ATSERIAS, M. GROHE and D. MARX, "Size bounds and query plans for relational joins," FOCS 2008.

## **Subgraph Matching**

• A Summary of representative subgraph matching algorithms

| Methodology         |                            | Algorithms and Systems  |                        |  |  |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|
|                     |                            | Sequential  | Parallel               |  |  |
| Backtracking Search |                            | Ullman, VF2, QuickSI,<br>GADDI,<br>SPath, GraphQL, TurboISO,<br>BoostISO, CFL, SGMatch,<br>CECI, DP-iso | PGX, PSM, STwig        |  |  |
| Multi-way<br>Join   | Pair-wise<br>Join          | PostgreSQL, MonetDB,<br>Neo4J   | GpSM, GSI              |  |  |
|                     | Worst-Case<br>Optimal Join | LogicalBlox, gStore   | EmptyHeaded, GraphFlow |  |  |

## **Equivalence between Join and Subgraph Matching**

- We have discussed the equivalence in previous slides...
- The equivalence has been observed in a bunch of studies...
  - ...by using the standard relational algebra, a graph traversal has to be represented as a sequence of joins. [EDBT/ICDT 2016 Workshops]
  - ...we discuss the alternative approach of using graph exploration, instead of substructure joins, to answer subgraph matching queries. [VLDB 2012]
  - The execution process of join operations can be considered as explorations over links in an entity-relationship graph. [VLDB 2016]
  - ...subgraph matching is equivalent to multi-way joins between base Vertex and base Edge tables on ID attributes. [SIGMOD-GRADES&NDA 2021]
  - ...a subgraph query Q is equivalent to a multi-way self-join query that contains one E(ai,aj) (for Edge) relation for each ai→aj ∈ E<sub>Q</sub>. [VLDB 2019]

### Reference

- Justin Jaffray, A Gentle(-ish) Introduction to Worst-Case Optimal Joins (<u>https://justinjaffray.com/a-gentle-ish-introduction-to-worst-case-optimal-joins/?try=2</u>)
- Semih Salihoğlu, Why (Graph) DBMSs Need New Join Algorithms: The Story of Worst-case Optimal Join Algorithms (<u>https://kuzudb.com/blog/wcoj.html</u>)
- Luigi P. Cordella, Pasquale Foggia, Carlo Sansone, Mario Vento: A (Sub)Graph Isomorphism Algorithm for Matching Large Graphs. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 26(10): 1367-1372 (2004)
- A. ATSERIAS, M. GROHE and D. MARX, "Size bounds and query plans for relational joins," FOCS 2008.
- Julian R. Ullmann: An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism. J. ACM 23(1): 31-42 (1976)
- Jiang, Chuntao, Frans Coenen, and Michele Zito. "A survey of frequent subgraph mining algorithms." The Knowledge Engineering Review 28.1 (2013): 75-105.
- Hölsch, Jürgen, and Michael Grossniklaus. "An algebra and equivalences to transform graph patterns in neo4j." EDBT/ICDT 2016 Workshops: EDBT Workshop on Querying Graph Structured Data (GraphQ). 2016.
- Sun Z, Wang H, Wang H, et al. Efficient subgraph matching on billion node graphs[J]. VLDB 2012.
- Ma, Hongbin, et al. "G-SQL: Fast query processing via graph exploration." Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment 9.12 (2016): 900-911.
- Mhedhbi, Amine, et al. "LSQB: a large-scale subgraph query benchmark." Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGMOD Joint International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences & Systems (GRADES) and Network Data Analytics (NDA). 2021.
- Amine Mhedhbi, Semih Salihoglu: Optimizing Subgraph Queries by Combining Binary and Worst-Case Optimal Joins. Proc.
   VLDB Endow. 12(11): 1692-1704 (2019)

# **04** Fusion of Query Processing Techniques

- Relational database techniques for graph queries
- Graph techniques for relational queries

### **Motivation of RDBMSs Supporting Graph Processing**

- Graph processing (e.g., various analytics) is getting increasingly popular!
  - Social networks, transportation networks, ad networks, e-commerce, web search, ...
- In many real-world scenarios, data is collected and stored in a relational database
  - Using specialized graph engines -> First need to dump data from RDBMSs with pre- and postprocessing
- Limited capacity of specialized graph processing systems compared to RDBMSs
  - Transactions, checkpointing and recovery, fault tolerance, durability, integrity constraints
- "Relational" vs "graph" distinction is blurry
  - Most structured data can be modeled as relations or graph
- Advances of relational data analytics
  - E.g., column-oriented databases

### The World of Graph Databases from An Industry Perspective

- Two different types of graph workloads
  - -Graph queries/Graph OLTP
    - Low-latency graph traversal and pattern matching; typically only touch small local regions of a graph
    - E.g., 2-hop neighbors, single-pair shortest path
  - -Graph algorithms/Graph OLAP/Graph analytics
    - Typically iterative, long running processing on the entire graph
    - Graph ML, e.g., Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)

Tian, Yuanyuan. "The World of Graph Databases from An Industry Perspective." ACM SIGMOD Record 51.4 (2023): 60-67.

### The World of Graph Databases from An Industry Perspective

- Two prominent graph models
  - RDF Model (W3C standard)
    - Directed edge-labeled graph, represented by the subject-predicate-object (s, p, o) triples
  - Property Graph Model
    - Vertex and edge can have arbitrary number of properties and can also be tagged with labels

Properties:

ID = 64572326

name = "Type 2 diabetes"

Label: isa

Label: disease

Label: disease


- Query languages for graph OLTP
  - RDF Model: SPARQL
  - Property graphs: Tinkerpop Gremlin, Cypher/openCypher (Neo4j), PGQL (Oracle), GSQL (TigerGraph), G-Core (LDBC), GQL (ISO/IEC)
  - Imperative vs. declarative: Gremlin is the only imperative query language
  - Turing complete? (Gremlin, GSQL)
- Query languages for graph OLAP
  - No standard language or API
  - Most vendors support Pregel-like API
  - A library of build-in graph algorithms is acceptable

#### Graph Databases

|                               |                                    |                                     | Granh   |                      | Graph OLTP   |                                   |  |                                |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                               |                                    | Deployment Mo                       | Model   | Query<br>Language    | Visualization<br>tools                             | Transaction                       | Graph OLAP   | Scale-Out                      |
| Graph Only<br>Companies       | TigerGraph                         | On-prem / AWS,<br>Azure, GCP        | PG      | GSQL                 | Graph Studio                                       | ACID                              | GSQL, 23 built-in<br>algorithms                                    | Yes                            |
|                               | Neo4J                              | On-prem / AWS,<br>Azure, GCP        | PG      | Cypher               | Studio   | Non-repeatable<br>reads may occur | Pregel API, 48 built-in<br>algorithms (including<br>Graph ML)      | Yes                            |
| Data<br>ompanies              | DataStax<br>Enterprise Graph       | On-prem / AWS,<br>Azure, GCP        | PG      | Gremlin              | Studio   | Row-level<br>(Cassandra)          | SparkGraphComputer<br>API  | Yes                            |
|                               | Databricks<br>GraphX & GraphFrames | On-prem / AWS,<br>Azure, GCP        | PG      | Motif Finding<br>DSL | -  | -                                 | Pregel API, 7 built-in<br>algorithms                               | Yes                            |
| δ                             | Amazon<br>Neptune                  | AWS                                 | PG, RDF | Gremlin,<br>SPARQL   | Neptune<br>Workbench                               | ACID                              | -  | Yes                            |
| Enterprise<br>Cloud Companies | <b>Microsoft</b><br>SQL Graph      | On-prem /<br>Azure                  | PG      | SQL<br>Extension     | Power BI<br>plugin, 3 <sup>rd</sup><br>party tools | ACID                              | Python/R scripts via<br>Machine Learning<br>Services               | Yes (Read-<br>Only<br>Queries) |
|                               | Microsoft<br>Cosmos DB Graph       | Azure                               | PG      | Gremlin              | Azure Portal,<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> party<br>tools    | -                                 | -  | Yes                            |
|                               | Oracle<br>Spatial and Graph        | On-prem / OCI<br>AWS, Azure,<br>GCP | PG, RDF | PGQL,<br>SPARQL      | Graph Studio                                       | ACID                              | Green Marl DSL, 50+<br>built-in algorithms<br>(including Graph ML) | Yes                            |
| L                             | IBM<br>Db2 Graph                   | On-prem / CP4D                      | PG      | Gremlin              | Graph UI   | ACID                              | -  | Yes                            |

- Graph database solution space
  - Native graph DB vs. hybrid graph DB
  - -Graph-only DB vs converged (i.e., multi-model) DB
- Advantages of native/graph-only DB: efficiency, Graph OLAP, ...
- Advantages of hybrid/converged DB come from the backend data store (transactions, access control, high availability, disaster recovery, ...)



#### • Graph benchmarks

- -No standard benchmarks like TPC-C/H/DS
- -Linked Data Benchmark Council (LDBC), e.g., SNB
- –Linkbench from Facebook
- -Graph500
- -Open Graph Benchmark (OGB) for graph ML

# **Overview of Relational Database Techniques for Graph Processing**

- Allow users to think in terms of a graph with an (unmodified) relational database
  - E.g., with the vertex-centric programming interface
- Support graph analytic processing by SQL and relational algebra
- Improve graph queries (i.e., subgraph matching) via more efficient join algorithms (e.g., worst-case optimal join)

# **Vertex-Centric Graph Processing**

- Popular for graph analytics
- Thinking like a vertex: processing logic applies on a vertex level and communicate via message passing
  - Programmer only specifies a vertex program
  - System takes care of running it in parallel
- Bulk Synchronous Parallel (BSP) model
- Gather-Apply-Scatter (GAS) model



#### Scatter Gather (Reduce) Apply Accumulate information Apply the accumulated Update adjacent edges about neighborhood value to center vertex and vertices. User Defined: User Defined: User Defined: ► Gather( $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ ) $\rightarrow \Sigma$ $\blacktriangleright$ Apply( $\bigcirc$ , $\Sigma$ ) $\rightarrow$ ( $\bigcirc$ Scatter( → -- $\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_3$ Update Edge Data & Parallel $+ \dots + \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$ **Activate Neighbors**

GAS Model

#### **GAS** Decomposition

# **Vertex-Centric Graph Processing**

- Vertex-centric BSP computation of the Single-Source Shortest Path (SSSP) algorithm:
  - Source node: 1



## **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015]

- Motivation: Is graph processing that different from other types of data processing?
  - Answer: No. Can be subsumed by "traditional" relational processing
- Vertex-centric programming adopted by specialized graph engines









- 1. Gather values (from neighbors)
- 2. Apply updates to local state
- **3. Scatter** signals to your neighbors

Fan, Jing, Adalbert Gerald Soosai Raj, and Jignesh M. Patel. "The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines." CIDR. 2015.

# **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015] - Schema Definitions

- Basic idea: Build a similar vertex-centric simple API and then map it to SQL (with good performance)
- An example of the **single-source shortest path** algorithm:

Edge



Permanent:

- edge(src, dst, data, val)
- vertex(id, data, val) *Intermediate*:
- next(id, val)
- cur(id, val)
- message(id, val)



# **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015] - From Grail API to SQL

• An example of the single-source shortest path algorithm:



# **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015] - The Role of Relational Algebra

Vertex-centric operators -> relational algebra -> SQL

| Vertex Centric                           | F                                   | Relational Algeb                      | ra                                       |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Receive messages                         | cur ·                               | $\leftarrow \gamma_{id,F_0(val)}(mes$ | sage)                                    |
| Mutate value                             | $\int next \xleftarrow{u} \pi$      | $_{next.id,F_1(other.val)}oth$        | $her \bowtie_{id} next$                  |
| Send messages                            | $\pi_{edge.B, F_2(other.volume)}$   | $_{al,edge.val}$ other $\bowtie_{ot}$ | her.id=edge.A $edge$                     |
|  |                                     |                                       |  |
| Aggregate function So<br>(can be a UDAF) | calar computation<br>(can be a UDF) | Scalar computation<br>(can be a UDF)  | Join attributes<br>control the directior |
| or single source<br>shortest path<br>uiu | sum                                 | identity                              | Outgoing edges                           |

# **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015] - The Role of Relational Algebra



# **Grail:** The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines [CIDR 2015] - Implementation and Performance



- Queries
  - Single-source shortest path (SSSP)
  - PageRank
  - Weakly connected components (WCC)

| Dataset         | #nodes | #edges | size  |
|-----------------|--------|--------|-------|
| web-google (GO) | 9K     | 5M     | 71MB  |
| com-Orkut (OR)  | ЗM     | 117M   | 1.6GB |
| Twitter-10 (TW) | 41.6M  | 1.5B   | 24GB  |
| uk-2007-05 (UK) | 100M   | 3.3B   | 56GB  |



# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015]

- Vertex-centric processing -> query execution plan (e.g., Giraph)
- -> logical query plan -> query optimization -> SQL on standard relational databases

```
public void compute(Iterable <IntWritable> messages) {
    // get the minimum distance
    if (getSuperstep () == 0)
        setValue (new DoubleWritable(Integer.MAX_VALUE));
    int minDist = isSource () ? 0 : Integer.MAX_VALUE;
    for (IntWritable message : messages)
        minDist = Math.min(minDist, message.get());
    // send messages to all edges if new minimum is found
    if (minDist < getValue().get()) {
        setValue (new IntWritable (minDist));
        for (Edge<?, ?> edge : getEdges()) {
            int distance = minDist + edge.getValue().get();
            sendMessage(edge.getTargetVertexId(), new IntWritable(distance));
        }
    }
    voteToHalt(); // halt
}
```

Listing 1: Single Source Shortest Path in Giraph.

Alekh Jindal, Praynaa Rawlani, Eugene Wu, Samuel Madden, Amol Deshpande, Mike Stonebraker: VERTEXICA: Your Relational Friend for Graph Analytics! Proc. VLDB Endow. 7(13): 1669-1672 (2014)

Jindal, Alekh, et al. "Graph analytics using vertica relational database." 2015 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). IEEE, 2015.

# **Giraph Physical Plan**

- Giraph: a popular, open-source graph analytics system on Hadoop
- The Giraph physical plan: hard coded physical execution pipeline
- Server Data
  - Partition store: partition vertices and related metadata
  - Edge store: partition edges and related metadata
  - Message store: incoming messages for this partition
- In each superstep, the workers run the vertexCompute UDF



# **Giraph Physical Plan**

**HDFS** 

 $\mathbf{W}_1$ 

 $W_1$ 

Scan

RecŘead

Shuffle

Server Data

edge store message store

partition store

Input Superstep

G=(V,E)

Split

 $W_2$ 

. . .

Ŵ2

Ŵ3

Ŵ3

Ŵ4

. . .

Ŵ4





# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - Rewriting Logical Giraph Plan

Eliminating the message table (by directly update V in RDBMS):



# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - Rewriting Logical Giraph Plan

Translating vertexCompute to relational algebra/SQL:



Single-Source Shortest Path

**Connected Components** 

PageRank

# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - SSSP as an example

Translating vertexCompute to relational algebra/SQL:

```
vertexCompute \mapsto \sigma_{d' < V_1.d}(\Gamma_{d'=\min(V_2.d+1)})
```



```
UPDATE vertex AS v SET v.d=v'.d
FROM (
SELECT v1.id, MIN(v2.d+1) AS d
FROM vertex AS v1, edge AS e, vertex AS v2
WHERE v2.id = e.from_node AND v1.id = e.to_node
GROUP BY e.to_node, v1.d
HAVING MIN(v2.d+1) < v1.d
) AS v'
WHERE v.id=v'.id;</pre>
```

Single-Source Shortest Path

# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - Query Optimization: Update vs. Replace

- For large number of updates:
  - Create a new vertex relation (vertex\_prime) by joining the updated vertices with the nonupdated vertices
  - Replace vertex with vertex\_prime

```
CREATE TABLE vertex_prime AS
SELECT v.id, ISNULL(v'.d, v.d) AS d
FROM vertex AS v LEFT JOIN (
SELECT v1.id AS id, MIN(v2.d+1) AS d
FROM vertex AS v1, edge AS e, vertex AS v2
WHERE v2.id=e.from_node AND v1.id=e.to_node
GROUP BY e.to_node, v1.d
HAVING MIN(v2.d+1) < v1.d
) AS v'
ON v.id = v'.Id;</pre>
```





# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - Query Optimization: Incremental Evaluation

- In single-source shortest path (SSSP)
  - only need to explore the neighbors of vertices that found a smaller distance in the previous iteration, i.e., the updated vertices table v\_update



# Graph Analytics using Vertica [VLDB 2014, BigData 2015] - Comparison with Specialized Graph Systems

- Typical graph analytics
- Advanced graph analytics (e.g., multi-hop neighborhood queries)

# **Typical Graph Analytics**

Twitter graph: 1.4 billion edges, 41.6 million nodes



|  | Multi-hop | neighborhood | queries |
|--|-----------|--------------|---------|
|--|-----------|--------------|---------|

| Query          | Dataset           | Vertica  | Giraph        |
|----------------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Strong Quarlan | Youtube           | 259.56   | 230.01        |
| Strong Overlap | LiveJournal-undir | 381.05   | out of memory |
| Weak Ties      | Youtube           | 746.14   | out of memory |
| weak ries      | LiveJournal-undir | 1,475.99 | out of memory |

- **Strong overlap**: Find all pairs of nodes having a large number of common neighbors (i.e., above the threshold)
- Weak ties: Find all nodes that act as a bridge between two otherwise disconnected node-pairs, i.e., connect at least a threshold number of node pairs

#### A large number of graph algorithms

- Breadth-First Search (BFS)
- Connected Component
  - Shortest Distance
  - Topological Sorting
    - PageRank
- Random Walk with Restart
  - SimRank
  - Label Propagation
- Maximum Independent Set



Zhao, Kangfei, and Jeffrey Xu Yu. "All-in-one: graph processing in RDBMSs revisited." *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM International Conference on Management of Data*. 2017.

# All-in-One: Graph Processing in RDBMSs Revisited [SIGMOD 2017] - Four New Relational Algebra Operations

- Let V and M be the relation representation of vector V and matrix M
   Schema: V(ID, vw), M(F, T, ew)
- Matrix-matrix / matrix-vector multiplication

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A \cdot B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \odot b_{11} \oplus a_{12} \odot b_{21} & a_{11} \odot b_{12} \oplus a_{12} \odot b_{22} \\ a_{21} \odot b_{11} \oplus a_{22} \odot b_{21} & a_{21} \odot b_{12} \oplus a_{22} \odot b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A + B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \oplus b_{11} & a_{12} \oplus b_{12} \\ a_{21} \oplus b_{21} & a_{22} \oplus b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A \cdot C = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \odot c_1 \oplus a_{12} \odot c_2 \\ a_{21} \odot c_1 \oplus a_{22} \odot c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

# All-in-One: Graph Processing in RDBMSs Revisited [SIGMOD 2017] - Four New Relational Algebra Operations

and the original sector and the sector of th

• New relational algebra (RA) operations

| A, E            | B: ( <i>F</i> , <i>T</i> , <i>ew</i> ), <i>C</i> : ( <i>ID</i> , vw) | group-by & aggregation operation   |
|-----------------|--|--|
| Operation       | Definition   | Expression   |
| MM-join         | $A \underset{A.T=B.F}{\overset{\oplus(\odot)}{\bowtie}} B$           | $A.F,B.T \mathcal{G}_{\oplus(\odot)}(A \underset{A.T=B.F}{\bowtie} B)$   |
| MV-join         | $A \overset{\oplus(\odot)}{\underset{T=ID}{\overset{\boxtimes}}} C$  | $_{F} \mathcal{G}_{\oplus(\odot)}(A \underset{T=ID}{\bowtie} C)$   |
| Anti-join       | $R \stackrel{-}{\ltimes} S$  | $R - (R \ltimes S)$  |
| Union-by-update | $R \uplus_A S_1$   | Update the B attributes values of r by the B<br>attributes values of s if r.A = s.A<br>(multiple s matching a single r is not allowed) |
|                 | R. S: (A, B)   |  |

- Graph Processing with New Relational Algebra Operations
- Let *V* and *E* be the relation representation of vector V and matrix E
  - Schema: *V*(*ID*, *vw*), *E*(*F*, *T*, *ew*)
- Breadth-First Search (BFS)
  - Initially, only the source node has vw=1,  $E_{ij}=1$  if there exists an edge from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$
  - The traversal operation of BFS (expressed in matrix formation):  $E^{T} \cdot V$



- Graph Processing with New Relational Algebra Operations
- Let *V* and *E* be the relation representation of vector V and matrix E
  - Schema: *V*(*ID*, *vw*), *E*(*F*, *T*, *ew*)
- Breadth-First Search (BFS)
  - Initially, only the source node has vw=1,  $E_{ij}=1$  if there exists an edge from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$
  - The traversal operation of BFS (expressed in matrix formation):  $E^{T} \cdot V$



- Graph Processing with New Relational Algebra Operations
- Representative graph algorithms:

| Breadth-First Search (BFS) | $V \leftarrow \rho_V (E \overset{max(vw * ew)}{\underset{F=ID}{\bowtie}} V)$                              |
|----------------------------|---|
| Connected Component        | $V \leftarrow \rho_V (E \overset{min(vw * ew)}{\underset{F=ID}{\boxtimes}} V)$                            |
| Bellman-Ford for SSSP      | $V \leftarrow \rho_V (E \overset{min(vw+ew)}{\underset{F=ID}{\boxtimes}} V)$                              |
| Floyd-Warshall for APSP    | $E \leftarrow \rho_E((E \to E_1) \overset{min(E_1.ew + E_2.ew)}{\bigotimes}_{E_1.T = E_2.F} (E \to E_2))$ |
| PageRank                   | $V \leftarrow \rho_V (E \bigvee_{T=ID}^{f_1(\cdot)} V)  f_1(\cdot) = c \ast sum(vw \ast ew) + (1-c)/n_1$  |
| Random Walk with Restart   | $V \leftarrow \rho_V (\Pi_{V.ID, f_2(\cdot) + (1-c) * P.vw} (E \bigotimes_{S.T = ID}^{f_2(\cdot))} V)$    |
|                            | $f_2(\cdot) = c * sum(vw * ew)$ $P(ID, vw)$ denotes the restart probability                               |

- Graph Processing with New Relational Algebra Operations

#### • Representative graph algorithms:

Topological  
SortingLet 
$$Topo(ID, L)$$
 be a relation that contains a set of nodes having no  
incoming edges with initial  $L$  value  $0 (\Pi_{ID,0}(V \ltimes_{ID=E.T} E))$  $(1L_n \leftarrow \rho_L(\mathcal{G}_{max(L)+1}Topo)$  $(2V_1 \leftarrow V \ltimes_{ID=T.ID} \times Topo)$  $(3E_1 \leftarrow \Pi_{E.F,E.T}(V_1 \bowtie_{ID=E.F} E))$  $(4T_n \leftarrow \Pi_{ID,L}(V_1 \ltimes_{V_1.ID=E_1.T} E_1) \times L_n$  $(5Topo \leftarrow Topo \cup T_n)$ 



- (2)  $V_1$  contains {2, 3, 4, 5}
- ③ E<sub>1</sub> contains {(2, 4), (3, 4), (3, 5), (4, 5)}
- (4)  $T_2$  contains {(2, 1), (3, 1)}

# All-in-One: Graph Processing in RDBMSs Revisited [SIGMOD 2017] - The With Clause

- Enhance the with clause in SQL'99
- Implemented by SQL/Persistent Stored Model (PSM) procedure
- The recursive queries defined by the 4 RA operators have fixpoint

```
with R as

select \cdots from R_{1,j}, \cdots computed by \cdots (Q_1)

union all

\cdots

union all

select \cdots from R_{i,j}, \cdots computed by \cdots (Q_i)

union all

\cdots

union all

select \cdots from R_{n,j}, \cdots computed by \cdots (Q_n)
```

Figure 4: The general form of the enhanced recursive with

| 1.  | with  |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | Topo(ID, L) as (                              |
| 3.  | (select $ID$ , 0 from $V$                     |
| 4.  | where $ID$ not in select $E.T$ from $E$ )     |
| 5.  | union all                                     |
| 6.  | (select $ID, L$ from $T_n$                    |
| 7.  | computed by                                   |
| 8.  | $L_n(L)$ as select $max(L) + 1$ from $Topo$ ; |
| 9.  | $V_1$ as                                      |
| 10. | select V.ID from V                            |
| 11. | where ID not in select ID from Topo;          |
| 12. | $E_1$ as                                      |
| 13. | select $E.F, E.T$ from $V_1, E$               |
| 14. | where $V_1.ID = E.F$ ;                        |
| 15. | $T_n$ as                                      |
| 16. | select ID, L from $V_1$ , $L_n$               |
| 17. | where ID not in select T from $E_1$ ;))       |
| 18. | select from Topo;                             |

Figure 5: The recursive with for TopoSort

### IBM Db2 Graph: Graph Queries Inside IBM Db2 [VLDB 2019, SIGMOD 2020]

- Build graph query support inside Db2 that is synergistic with other analytics and retrofittable to existing data
- Db2 Graph is a layer inside Db2 specialized for graph queries
  - With the property graph model



Figure 1: Synergistic graph queries inside Db2

Tian, Yuanyuan, et al. "Synergistic graph and SQL analytics inside IBM Db2." *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment* 12.12 (2019): 1782-1785. Tian, Yuanyuan, et al. "IBM db2 graph: Supporting synergistic and retrofittable graph queries inside IBM db2." *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data*. 2020.

• Use graphQuery (i.e., the polymorphic table function) based on Gremlin

- The returned result is a table

• However, the graph is not actually built



- Use graphQuery (i.e., the polymorphic table function) based on Gremlin
  - The returned result is a table

and compares their daily exercise patterns

However, the graph is not actually built



- Specify the relation-graph mapping via the overlay configuration file
  - What table(s) store the vertex information? What table column(s) are mapped to the required id field? What is the label for each vertex? ...

```
"v_tables": [
        "table_name": "Patient",
        "prefixed_id": true,
        "id": "'patient'::patientID",
        "fix_label": true,
        "label": "'patient'",
        "properties": ["patientID", "name", "address", "
        subscriptionID"]
9
   },
10
   {
11
        "table_name": "Disease",
        "id": "diseaseID".
12
        "fix_label": true.
13
        "label": "'disease'",
14
        "properties": ["diseaseID", "conceptCode", "
15
        conceptName"]
16
   }],
```

```
"e_tables": [
17
18
         "table_name": "DiseaseOntology",
19
         "src_v_table": "Disease",
20
         "src_v": "sourceID",
21
         "dst_v_table": "Disease",
22
         "dst_v": "targetID",
23
         "prefixed_edge_id": true,
24
         "id": "'ontology'::sourceID::targetID",
25
         "label": "type"
26
27
   },
28
         "table_name": "HasDisease",
29
         "src_v_table": "Patient",
30
         "src_v": "'patient'::patientID",
31
         "dst_v_table": "Disease",
32
33
         "dst_v": "diseaseID",
         "implicit_edge_id": true,
34
         "fix_label": true,
35
         "label": "'hasDisease'"
36
37
```

- Automatically generation of the overlay configuration file (AutoOverlay)
  - Step 1. First queries Db2 catalog to get all the metadata information for each table such as table schema, and primary key/foreign key constraints
  - Step 2. If a table has primary key, map it to a vertex table; if it has foreign key(s), also map it to an edge table
  - Step 3. Maps the required fields in the property graph model to columns in the vertex/edge tables
- Note that
  - Heavily rely on the primary and foreign key constraints!
  - One can manually specify the configuration
  - Machine learning techniques to infer the constraints (as future work)

# IBM Db2 Graph: Graph Queries Inside IBM Db2 [VLDB 2019, SIGMOD 2020] - Architecture



Figure 3: Db2 Graph architecture
#### - Architecture



#### - Architecture



#### - Architecture



#### - Architecture



## IBM Db2 Graph: Graph Queries Inside IBM Db2 [VLDB 2019, SIGMOD 2020] - Query Optimization

- Data-independent strategies
  - Predicate Pushdown with Filter Steps
    - E.g., for g.V().has('name', 'Alice'), fold the HasStep into the GraphStep
  - Projection Pushdown with Properties Steps
    - E.g., for g.V().values('name', 'address'), the GraphStep is "SELECT id, label, name, address FROM ..."
  - Aggregate Pushdown with Aggregation Steps
  - ...
- Data-dependent strategies
  - Use src\_v\_table/dst\_v\_table to record from which relational table the nodes/edges are mapped
  - Using properties of the graph
    - Using Property Names in Pushdown Information
    - Using Label/Prefix ID Values/...

## **IBM Db2 Graph: Graph Queries Inside IBM Db2 [VLDB 2019, SIGMOD 2020]** - Experimental Study

- Graph loading time matters!
- IBM Db2 Graph achieves satisfactory query efficiency on LinkBench (simple queries) •

**Table 1: LinkBench Queries** 

| LinkBench Query      | Gremlin                                       |           | Db2 G  | raph    | Export |       | GDB-X  |        | Ja    | nusGrap | h      |
|----------------------|---|-----------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|
| getNode(id, lbl)     | g.V(id).hasLabel(lbl)                         | Linkbench | Disk   | Open    | From   | Disk  | Load   | Open   | Disk  | Load    | Open   |
| countLinks(id1,lbl)  | g.V(id1).outE(lbl).count()                    | Dataset   | Usage  | Graph   | DB     | Usage | Data   | Graph  | Usage | Data    | Graph  |
| getLink(id1,lbl,id2) | g.V(id1).outE(lbl).filter(outV().id() == id2) | 10M       | 4.6GB  | 1.4 sec | 5 min  | 28GB  | 42 min | 14 sec | 29GB  | 65 min  | 15 sec |
| getLinkList(id1,lbl) | g.V(id1).outE(lbl)                            | 100M      | 45.8GB | 2.1 sec | 32 min | 327GB | 8 hr   | 15 sec | 326GB | 13.5 hr | 17 sec |

Table 3: Graph loading time for different graph databases



#### Latency

#### **Overview of Graph Techniques for Relational Queries**

- Think in terms of graph processing when dealing with joins
- Understanding the advantages and disadvantages of GDBMSs over RDBMSs
- Improving analytical queries (OLAP) such as TPC-H/DS using GDBMSs

#### Wander Join: Online Aggregation via Random Walks [SIGMOD 2016]

- Online aggregation
  - Analytical queries do not always need 100% accuracy
  - Return an approximate answer with improving 'quality' guarantee
- How do we estimate an aggregate query that involves multiple joins?
- Notion of quality: express in form of confidence intervals



Li, Feifei, et al. "Wander join: Online aggregation via random walks." Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Management of Data. 2016.

# **Ripple Join**

- Store tuples in each table in random order
- In each step
  - Reads the next tuple from a table in a round-robin fashion
  - Join with sampled tuples from other tables
  - Estimate the aggregation value from samples, calculate confidence interval from estimator (using the central limit theorem)
- Works well for full Cartesian product
  - But most joins are sparse



Peter J. Haas, Joseph M. Hellerstein: Ripple Joins for Online Aggregation. SIGMOD Conference 1999: 287-298

# **Ripple Join**

#### What's the total revenue of all orders from customers in China?

| Nation | CID | BuyerID | OrderID |
|--------|-----|---------|---------|
| US     | 1   | 4       | 1       |
| US     | 2   | 3       | 2       |
| China  | 3   | 1       | 3       |
| UK     | 4   | 5       | 4       |
| China  | 5   | 5       | 5       |
| US     | 6   | 5       | 6       |
| China  | 7   | 3       | 7       |
| UK     | 8   | 5       | 8       |
| Japan  | 9   | 3       | 9       |
| UK     | 10  | 7       | 10      |

| OrderID | ItemID | Price  |
|---------|--------|--------|
| 4       | 301    | \$2100 |
| 2       | 304    | \$100  |
| 3       | 201    | \$300  |
| 4       | 306    | \$500  |
| 3       | 401    | \$230  |
| 1       | 101    | \$800  |
| 2       | 201    | \$300  |
| 5       | 101    | \$200  |
| 4       | 301    | \$100  |
| 2       | 201    | \$600  |

N: size of each table, e.g.,  $10^9$ *n*: # tuples taken from each table s: # estimators, e.g.,  $10^3$  $n^{3} \cdot \frac{1}{N^{2}} = s$  $n = N^{2/3} s^{1/3} = 10^{7}$ 

Peter J. Haas, Joseph M. Hellerstein: Ripple Joins for Online Aggregation. SIGMOD Conference 1999: 287-298

# **Ripple Join**

Price

\$2100

\$100

\$300

\$500

\$230

#### What's the total revenue of all orders from customers in China?

| Nation | CID | BuyerID | OrderID | OrderID | lteml |
|--------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| US     | 1   | 4       | 1       | 4       | 301   |
| US     | 2   | 3       | 2       | 2       | 304   |
| China  | 3   | 1       | 3       | 3       | 201   |
| UK     | 4   | 5       | 4       | 4       | 306   |
| China  | 5   | 5       | 5       | 3       | 401   |

N: size of each table, e.g.,  $10^9$ n: # tuples taken from each table s: # estimators, e.g.,  $10^3$   $n^3 \cdot \frac{1}{N^2} = s$  $n = N^{2/3}s^{1/3} = 10^7$ 

Estimator for sum:

Pete

$$SUM(expression(R,S)) = \frac{|R| \times |S|}{|R_n| \times |S_n|} \sum_{\substack{(r,s) \in R_n \times S_n}} expression_p(r,s)$$
$$expression_p(r,s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if fails WHERE} \\ expression(r,s), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Take a randomly sampled tuple from ONLY one table
- Conduct a random walk from that tuple to the neighbors (join tuples)
  - For queries with many join relations, there may be different walk paths
  - Can handle cyclical queries
  - Assumes indexes on other tables
- Provide an unbiased estimator for each aggregator
- Does not provide consistent result: must run full join in conjunction with wander join



Conceptual only Never materialized



|                                | Nation | CID | BuyerID | OrderID | OrderID | ItemID | Price  |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
|                                | US     | 1   | 4       | 1       | 4       | 301    | \$2100 |
| SELECT SUM(Price)              | US     | 2   | 3       | 2       | 2       | 304    | \$100  |
| FROM Customers C,<br>Orders O, | China  | 3   | 1       | 3       | 3       | 201    | \$300  |
| Items I                        | UK     | 4   | 5       | 4       | 4       | 306    | \$500  |
| WHERE<br>C.Nation = 'China'    | China  | 5   | 5       | 5       | 3       | 401    | \$230  |
| C.CID = O.BuyerID              | US     | 6   | 5       | 6       | 1       | 101    | \$800  |
| 0.OrderID =<br>I.OrderID       | China  | 7   | 3       | 7       | 2       | 201    | \$300  |
|                                | UK     | 8   | 5       | 8       | 5       | 101    | \$200  |
|                                | Japan  | 9   | 3       | 9       | 4       | 301    | \$100  |

7

10

2

201

\$600

UK

10









# Wander Join: Online Aggregation via Random Walks [SIGMOD 2016] - Sampling by Random Walks

- Estimator of aggregate might be biased
  - Penalize paths that are sampled with higher probability proportionally
- Unbiased estimator
  - Walk plan optimization

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= \text{path} \\ v(\gamma) &= \text{aggregate on } \gamma \\ p(\gamma) &= \text{probability of } \gamma \\ \sum_{\gamma} v(\gamma) &= \text{SUM}(\text{expression}) \text{ from ripple} \\ \text{Then} \frac{v(\gamma)}{p(\gamma)} \text{is unbiased estimator} \end{split}$$



 $p(a_1, b_1, c_1) = 1/7 \times 1/3 \times 1/2$  $p(a_6, b_6, c_7) = 1/7 \times 1 \times 1$ 

# Wander Join: Online Aggregation via Random Walks [SIGMOD 2016] - Experimental Study

#### **Convergence Comparison**





## Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - A Unified Benchmark

- Evaluate RDBMSs and GDBMSs on the same datasets
  - Extend TPC-H to evaluate GDBMSs
  - Extend LDBC to evaluate RDBMSs



**Fig. 1** The database schema for TPC-H benchmark

**Fig. 2** The graph schema for TPC-H benchmark

Yijian Cheng, Pengjie Ding, Tongtong Wang, Wei Lu, Xiaoyong Du: Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems. Data Sci. Eng. 4(4): 309-322 (2019)

## Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - A Unified Benchmark

- Evaluate RDBMSs and GDBMSs on the same datasets
  - Extend TPC-H to evaluate GDBMSs
  - Extend LDBC to evaluate RDBMSs
- Graph-to-relation mapping
  - Simply store the directed edges as triples (*fromVertex, edgeLabel, toVertex*)
- Datasets

Table 3TPC-H datasets

| ID        | Size   | Vertices  | Edges      |
|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| tpch-0.05 | 50 MB  | 432,844   | 2,261,723  |
| tpch-0.1  | 100 MB | 866,602   | 4,530,029  |
| tpch-0.5  | 500 MB | 4,330,622 | 22,634,256 |
| tpch-1    | 1 GB   | 8,661,245 | 45,268,530 |

 Table 4
 The real graph datasets

| Graphs       | Vertices  | Edges     | Size | Domain        |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|------|---------------|
| Wiki-Vote    | 7115      | 103,689   | S    | Social        |
| Cit-HepTh    | 27,770    | 352,807   | Μ    | Citation      |
| Web-Stanford | 281,903   | 2,312,497 | L    | Web graphs    |
| Wiki-Talk    | 2,394,385 | 5,021,410 | XL   | Communication |

#### Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Query Workloads

- Atomic relational queries, including Projection, Aggregation, Join, and Order by
- TPC-H query workloads (22 queries)
- Graph query workloads, including BFS, Community Detection using Label Propagation (CDLP), PageRank (PR), Local Clustering Coefficient (LCC), and Weakly Connected Components (WCC)

Algorithm 2 Cypher for TPC-H Query 2

| 1:  | MATCH(ps : Partsupp) - [] - > (s : Supplier) - [] - > (n : Nation) - [] - > (r : Natio |
|-----|--|
|     | Region)  |
| 2:  | WHERE  |
| 3:  | r.rName =' EUROPE'   |
| 4:  | <b>WITH</b> $min(ps.psSupplycost)$ as $minvalue$   |
| 5:  | MATCH(ps: Partsupp) - [] - > (p: Part), (ps: Partsupp) - [] - > (s: Supplier) - []   |
|     | [] - > (n : Nation) - [] - > (r : Region)  |
| 6:  | WHERE  |
| 7:  | p.pSize = 13 and $p.pType = '. * SMALL.*'$ and $r.rName = 'EUROPE'$  |
|     | and $ps.psSupplycost = minvalue$   |
| 8:  | RETURN Transform TDC H into aquivalant   |
| 9:  | s.sAcctbal,  |
| 10: | s.sName, SQL-like graph query statements   |
| 11: | and other elements   |
| 12: | ORDER BY   |
| 13: | s.sAcctbaldesc, n.nName, s.sName, p.pPartkey   |

Algorithm 3 Bread-First Search in SQL 1: with **RECURSIVE** *BFS*(*toID*, *level*, *fromid*, *paths*) 2: **as**( 3: select toID, 0, fromID, ARRAY[null, toID] from  $R_{rel}$ where toID = m and fromID is NULL4: 5: union all 6: select  $R_{rel}.toID, level + 1, BFS.toID, paths || R_{rel}.toID$ from  $R_{rel}, BFS$ 7: where  $R_{rel}$ . from ID = BFS. to ID8: and level < n9: 10: ) 11: select *level*, *paths* from BFS

Implement the 5 graph algorithms in SQL using the procedure with While loop

#### Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Experiments

- Tested databases
  - RDBMSs: PostgreSQL (v9.5), Oracle (11g), MS SQL Server (2017)
  - -GDBMSs: Neo4j (v3.4.6), ArangoDB (v3.3.19)
    - With varied back-end storage engines
- Metrics
  - -Query processing time
  - Memory usage ratio
  - CPU usage ratio

## Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Experiments on TPC-H Workloads

The GDBMSs show their inefficiency when dealing with TPC-H datasets



But can be further optimized for complex operations (Aggregation, Order By) via creating indices Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Experiments on Relational Operations



## Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Experiments on Graph Algorithms



## Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems [DSE 2019] - Experiments on Graph Algorithms



#### Self-joins are expensive for RDBMSs

#### Vertex-centric Parallel Computation of SQL Queries [SIGMOD 2021] - Tuple-Attribute Graph (TAG) Encoding

- Each vertex and edge has
  - A label, i.e., node/edge type
  - A collection of attributes (key-value pairs)
- Create exactly one vertex per value regardless of how many times the value occurs in the database
  - Essentially an RDF graph
- Attribute vertices acts as an indexing scheme for joins



Figure 1: Encoding relational data in a TAG representation. Tuple vertices are depicted as rectangles, and attribute vertices as circles.

## Vertex-centric Parallel Computation of SQL Queries [SIGMOD 2021] - Vertex-Centric Two-Way Join

- Vertex-centric computation based on Yannakakis' algorithm
  - First compute two semi-joins:  $J_1 := R \ltimes S$   $J_2 := S \ltimes R$ .
  - Conduct join on the reduced relations:  $J_1 \bowtie J_2$



# Vertex-centric Parallel Computation of SQL Queries [SIGMOD 2021] - Acyclic Multi-Way Joins & Cyclic Joins

- TAG traversal plan generation
  - Generalized hypertree decomposition (GHD) of the query
  - Connected bottom-up traversal
- Vertex-centric algorithm
  - Reduction phase (O(IN) cost) and collection phase (O(OUT) cost)





#### $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})\Join\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{C})\bowtie\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{C})$

Can be improved to worst-case optimal (by the strategy of the NPRR algorithm)

 $\begin{array}{l} (R_{heavy} \bowtie S) \bowtie T)) \cup ((R_{light} \bowtie T) \bowtie S) \\ \text{if } |\sigma_{A=a}R| > \theta \text{ then } (a,b) \in R_{heavy}, \\ \text{otherwise } (a,b) \in R_{light} \end{array}$ 

# Vertex-centric Parallel Computation of SQL Queries [SIGMOD 2021] - Experimental Study

#### Compared with commercial RDBMSs on TPC-H/DS

Table 2: Number of TPC-DS queries where TAG-join approach outperforms, shows competitive or worse performance against each of the relational systems at SF-75. Total number of queries is 84.

| - | #queries  | outperforms | competitive | worse |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| - | psql      | 84          | -           | -     |
| / | rdbX      | 74          | 4           | 4     |
|   | rdbX_im   | 64          | 3           | 17    |
| 1 | rdbY      | 53          | 22          | 9     |
|   | rdbY_non  | 64          | 12          | 8     |
|   | spark_sql | 73          | 5           | 6     |

rdbX: leading commercial RDBMS/row store rdbX\_im: in-memory column store

rdbY: commercial RDBMS with row store support rdbY\_non: non-clustered primary key

Table 3: Runtime (in seconds) of TPC-DS workload at SF-75broken down by aggregation type

| SF-75     | No agg | LA     | GA       | Scalar GA |
|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|
| psql      | 0.58   | 1913.7 | 7788.433 | 391.592   |
| rdbX      | 2.42   | 72.3   | 1375.739 | 438.137   |
| rdbX_im   | 1.71   | 43.9   | 1279.353 | 286.183   |
| rdbY      | 0.52   | 42.8   | 1771.947 | 302.384   |
| rdbY_non  | 0.32   | 138.3  | 2736.952 | 355.361   |
| spark_sql | 13.6   | 160.4  | 1549.5   | 231.5     |
| TAG_tg    | 0.16   | 8.37   | 231.044  | 117.734   |

#### Table 4: Peak RAM usage percentage at SF-75.

| %      | psql | rdbX | rdbX_im | rdbY | spark_sql | TAG_tg |
|--------|------|------|---------|------|-----------|--------|
| TPC-H  | 65.9 | 57.1 | 51.2    | 55.1 | 57.4      | 53.8   |
| TPC-DS | 61.7 | 49.8 | 43.5    | 54.3 | 68.1      | 52.9   |

# Reference

- Tian, Yuanyuan. "The World of Graph Databases from An Industry Perspective." ACM SIGMOD Record 51.4 (2023): 60-67.
- Fan, Jing, Adalbert Gerald Soosai Raj, and Jignesh M. Patel. "The Case Against Specialized Graph Analytics Engines." CIDR. 2015.
- Alekh Jindal, Praynaa Rawlani, Eugene Wu, Samuel Madden, Amol Deshpande, Mike Stonebraker: VERTEXICA: Your Relational Friend for Graph Analytics! Proc. VLDB Endow. 7(13): 1669-1672 (2014)
- Jindal, Alekh, et al. "Graph analytics using vertica relational database." 2015 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). IEEE, 2015.
- Zhao, Kangfei, and Jeffrey Xu Yu. "All-in-one: graph processing in RDBMSs revisited." Proceedings of the 2017 ACM International Conference on Management of Data. 2017.
- Tian, Yuanyuan, et al. "Synergistic graph and SQL analytics inside IBM Db2." Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment 12.12 (2019): 1782-1785.
- Tian, Yuanyuan, et al. "IBM db2 graph: Supporting synergistic and retrofittable graph queries inside IBM db2." Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data. 2020.
- Li, Feifei, et al. "Wander join: Online aggregation via random walks." Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Management of Data. 2016.
- Peter J. Haas, Joseph M. Hellerstein: Ripple Joins for Online Aggregation. SIGMOD Conference 1999: 287-298
- Yijian Cheng, Pengjie Ding, Tongtong Wang, Wei Lu, Xiaoyong Du: Which Category Is Better: Benchmarking Relational and Graph Database Management Systems. Data Sci. Eng. 4(4): 309-322 (2019)
- Ainur Smagulova, Alin Deutsch: Vertex-centric Parallel Computation of SQL Queries. SIGMOD Conference 2021: 1664-1677
- Mhedhbi, Amine, and Semih Salihoğlu. "Modern techniques for querying graph-structured relations: foundations, system implementations, and open challenges." Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment 15.12 (2022): 3762-3765.

# Open problems and challenges

#### **Open Problems and Challenges**

- For designing multi-model data query languages
  - Design an algebra for a multi-model query language
  - General approaches for cross-model query optimization
- For RDBMS techniques supporting graph query and analytics
  - Leverage the vast amount of efficient graph algorithms
  - Achieve a balance between generality and efficiency of graph analytics
- For graph techniques/GDBMSs supporting relational queries
  - Improve GDBMSs in transactions, checkpointing and recovery, fault tolerance, durability, integrity constraints, ...
  - Hybrid OLTP and OLAP graph processing systems

# THANKS

Acknowledgement

- We would like to thank all the organizers and attendees of DASFAA 2023.
- We would also like to thank all the authors of the referenced papers for their contributions to the papers as the slides available on the Internet. We have borrowed generously from their papers and slides for this tutorial.
- This work is partially sponsored by CCF-Tencent Open Fund and Kingbase.