

## GFS, Mapreduce and Bigtable

Seminar on big data management

Lecturer: Jiaheng Lu

Spring 2016

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

1



#### **Google big data techniques**



### Google File System

#### MapReduce model

### Bigtable data storage platform

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

www.helsinki.fi



#### The Google File System

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

www.helsinki.fi



- A scalable distributed file system for large distributed data intensive applications
- Multiple GFS clusters are currently deployed.
- The largest ones (in 2003) have:
  - 1000+ storage nodes
  - 300+ TeraBytes of disk storage
  - heavily accessed by hundreds of clients on distinct machines



#### Introduction

Shares many same goals as previous distributed file systems performance, scalability, reliability, etc GFS design has been driven by four key observation of Google application workloads and technological environment



#### **Intro: Observations 1**

#### Component failures are the norm

constant monitoring, error detection, fault tolerance and automatic recovery are integral to the system

#### 2. Huge files (by traditional standards)

Multi GB files are common

I/O operations and blocks sizes must be revisited



#### **Intro: Observations 2**

## Most files are mutated by appending new data

This is the focus of performance optimization and atomicity guarantees

#### 4. Co-designing the applications and APIs benefits overall system by increasing flexibility



 Cluster consists of a single master and multiple chunkservers and is accessed by multiple clients



Figure 1: GFS Architecture



#### **The Master**

- Maintains all file system metadata.
  - names space, access control info, file to chunk mappings, chunk (including replicas) location, etc.
- Periodically communicates with chunkservers in HeartBeat messages to give instructions and check state





#### **The Master**

- Helps make sophisticated chunk placement and replication decision, using global knowledge
- For reading and writing, client contacts Master to get chunk locations, then deals directly with chunkservers

Master is not a bottleneck for reads/writes



#### Chunkservers

 Files are broken into chunks. Each chunk has a immutable globally unique 64-bit chunkhandle.

handle is assigned by the master at chunk creation

- Chunk size is 64 MB
- Each chunk is replicated on 3 (default) servers





#### Clients

- Linked to apps using the file system API.
- Communicates with master and chunkservers for reading and writing

Master interactions only for metadata

Chunkserver interactions for data

Only caches metadata information
 Data is too large to cache.



#### **Chunk Locations**

- Master does not keep a persistent record of locations of chunks and replicas.
- **Polls** chunkservers at startup, and when new chunkservers join/leave for this.
- Stays up to date by controlling placement of new chunks and through *HeartBeat* messages (when monitoring chunkservers)



# Introduction to MapReduce



#### MapReduce: Insight

TheWordCount.



- We have 10 billion documents
- Average documents size is 20KB
- 10 Billion docs == 200 TB
- • We want build a language model of the Web:
- Basically count how many times each word occur



- for each document d
- { for each word w in d {word\_count[w]++; } }
- Approximately 1 month.



- Assumptions:
- 1. All disk reads are sequential
- 2. Dictionary fits into the memory

# MapReduce Programming Model

- Inspired from map and reduce operations commonly used in functional programming languages like Lisp.
- Users implement interface of two primary methods:
  - 1. Map: (key1, val1)  $\rightarrow$  (key2, val2)
  - 2. Reduce: (key2, [val2])  $\rightarrow$  [val3]



- Map, a pure function, written by the user, takes an input key/value pair and produces a set of intermediate key/value pairs.
  - e.g. (doc—id, doc-content)
- Draw an analogy to SQL, map can be visualized as group-by clause of an aggregate query.



- On completion of map phase, all the intermediate values for a given output key are combined together into a list and given to a reducer.
- Can be visualized as *aggregate* function (e.g., average) that is computed over all the rows with the same group-by attribute.



#### **Pseudo-code**

map(String input\_key, String input\_value):
// input\_key: document name
// input\_value: document contents
 for each word w in input\_value:
 EmitIntermediate(w, "1");

#### reduce(String output\_key, Iterator intermediate\_values):

// output\_key: a word
// output\_values: a list of counts
 int result = 0;
 for each v in intermediate\_values:
 result += ParseInt(v);
 Emit(AsString(result));







#### **MapReduce: Example**







# MapReduce: Some More Apps

- Distributed Grep.
- Count of URL Access Frequency.
- Clustering (K-means)
- Graph Algorithms.
- Indexing Systems







#### Google File System

#### MapReduce model

### Bigtable data storage platform

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

www.helsinki.fi



## **BigTable: A Distributed Storage System for Structured Data**



- BigTable is a distributed storage system for managing structured data.
- Designed to scale to a very large size
  - Petabytes of data across thousands of servers
- Used for many Google projects
  - Web indexing, Personalized Search, Google Earth, Google Analytics, Google Finance, ...
- Flexible, high-performance solution for all of Google's products



- Lots of (semi-)structured data at Google
  - URLs:
    - Contents, crawl metadata, links, anchors, pagerank, …
  - Per-user data:
    - User preference settings, recent queries/search results, …
  - Geographic locations:
    - Physical entities (shops, restaurants, etc.), roads, satellite image data, user annotations, ...
- Scale is large
  - Billions of URLs, many versions/page (~20K/version)

# Why not just use commercial DB?

- Scale is too large for most commercial databases
- Even if it weren't, cost would be very high
  - Building internally means system can be applied across many projects for low incremental cost



- Want asynchronous processes to be continuously updating different pieces of data
  - Want access to most current data at any time
- Need to support:
  - Very high read/write rates (millions of ops per second)
  - Efficient scans over all or interesting subsets of data
  - Efficient joins of large one-to-one and one-to-many datasets
- Often want to examine data changes over time
  - E.g. Contents of a web page over multiple crawls



- Distributed multi-level map
- Fault-tolerant, persistent
- Scalable
  - Thousands of servers
  - Terabytes of in-memory data
  - Petabyte of disk-based data
  - Millions of reads/writes per second, efficient scans
- Self-managing
  - Servers can be added/removed dynamically
  - Servers adjust to load imbalance



- Building blocks:
  - Google File System (GFS): Raw storage
  - Scheduler: schedules jobs onto machines
  - Lock service: distributed lock manager
  - MapReduce: simplified large-scale data processing



 A BigTable is a sparse, distributed persistent multi-dimensional sorted map (row, column, timestamp) -> cell contents



Good match for most Google applications





- Want to keep copy of a large collection of web pages and related information
- Use URLs as row keys
- Various aspects of web page as column names
- Store contents of web pages in the contents: column under the timestamps when they were fetched.



- Name is an arbitrary string
  - Access to data in a row is atomic
  - Row creation is implicit upon storing data
- Rows ordered lexicographically
  - Rows close together lexicographically usually on one or a small number of machines



Reads of short row ranges are efficient and typically require communication with a small number of machines.

- Can exploit this property by selecting row keys so they get good locality for data access.
- Example:

```
math.gatech.edu, math.uga.edu, phys.gatech.edu,
phys.uga.edu
VS
edu.gatech.math, edu.gatech.phys, edu.uga.math,
edu.uga.phys
```



- Columns have two-level name structure:
  - family:optional\_qualifier
- Column family
  - Unit of access control
  - Has associated type information
- Qualifier gives unbounded columns
  - Additional levels of indexing, if desired





- Used to store different versions of data in a cell
  - New writes default to current time, but timestamps for writes can also be set explicitly by clients
- Lookup options:
  - "Return most recent K values"
  - "Return all values in timestamp range (or all values)"
- Column families can be marked w/ attributes:
  - "Only retain most recent K values in a cell"
  - "Keep values until they are older than K seconds"

## Implementation – Three Major Components

- Library linked into every client
- One master server
  - Responsible for:
    - Assigning tablets to tablet servers
    - Detecting addition and expiration of tablet servers
    - Balancing tablet-server load
    - Garbage collection
- Many tablet servers
  - Tablet servers handle read and write requests to its table
  - Splits tablets that have grown too large



- Large tables broken into tablets at row boundaries
  - Tablet holds contiguous range of rows
    - Clients can often choose row keys to achieve locality
  - Aim for ~100MB to 200MB of data per tablet
- Serving machine responsible for ~100 tablets
  - Fast recovery:
    - 100 machines each pick up 1 tablet for failed machine
  - Fine-grained load balancing:
    - Migrate tablets away from overloaded machine
    - Master makes load-balancing decisions



- Each tablet is assigned to one tablet server at a time.
- Master server keeps track of the set of live tablet servers and current assignments of tablets to servers. Also keeps track of unassigned tablets.
- When a tablet is unassigned, master assigns the tablet to an tablet server with sufficient room.



- Metadata operations
  - Create/delete tables, column families, change metadata
- Writes (atomic)
  - Set(): write cells in a row
  - DeleteCells(): delete cells in a row
  - DeleteRow(): delete all cells in a row
- Reads
  - Scanner: read arbitrary cells in a bigtable
    - Each row read is atomic
    - Can restrict returned rows to a particular range
    - Can ask for just data from 1 row, all rows, etc.
    - Can ask for all columns, just certain column families, or specific columns



- GFS is developed by Google for big data challenge
- Hadoop is an open-source software of GFS
- Mapreduce is a distributed programming framework
- Bigtable is developed to store large-scale Web data

Matemaattis-luonnontieteellinen tiedekunta / Iso tiedonhallinta/ Jiaheng Lu

www.helsinki.fi