582670 Algorithms for Bioinformatics

Lecture 4: Dynamic Programming and Sequence Alignment

20.9.2012

Adapted from slides by Veli Mäkinen / Algorithms for Bioinformatics 2011

Sequence similarity

- Genome rearrangement problem assumed we know for each gene in species A its counterpart in species B (if exists).
  - Orthologous genes: same ancestor in evolution
  - Paralogous genes: gene duplication
  - Homolog = Ortholog or paralog
- Often sequence similarity is the only way to predict whether two genes are homologs
  - Very unlikely that same (long) sequences have evolved independently from different ancestors
  - ... except horizontal gene transfer

Sequence similarity vs. distance

- Let A and B be two strings (sequences) from alphabet Σ
- Many different ways to define *similarity* or *distance* of A and B
- Recall Hamming distance $d_H(A, B)$
  - Only defined when $|A| = |B|$.
- What is the simplest measure to extend Hamming distance to different length strings?
  - For many purposes it is useful if the distance is a *metric*

Edit distance

- The most studied distance function extending Hamming distance is unit cost edit distance or Levenshtein distance.
- $d_L(A, B)$ is the minimum amount of single symbol *insertions, deletions and substitutions* required to convert A to B.
- For example, when $A = \text{"tukholma"}$ and $B = \text{"stockholm"}$ we have $d_L(A, B) = 4$:
  - insert s, substitute u $\rightarrow$ o, insert c, delete a
  - ... or insert s, insert o, substitute u $\rightarrow$ c, delete a
  - ... or is there a better sequence of edits?

-	t	u	-	k	h	o	l	m	a
s	t	o	c	k	h	o	l	m	-

Dynamic Programming

- Some problems can be broken into smaller subproblems so that the solution to the problem can be constructed from the solutions of the subproblems.
- This often leads to several instances of the same subproblem
- Dynamic programming is a technique to *organize the computation* and *save the solutions* of the subproblems so that they only need to be solved once.
- We will use dynamic programming to compute edit distance.

Example: Computing Fibonacci numbers

- Remember Fibonacci numbers:

$$F(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } n = 2 \\ F(n-2) + F(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- The recursion to compute $F(n)$ contains many identical subproblems:



- We can avoid solving the same subproblem several times by saving the results in an array:



Example: Computing Fibonacci numbers

- Remember Fibonacci numbers:

$$F(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } n = 2 \\ F(n-2) + F(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- The recursion to compute $F(n)$ contains many identical subproblems:

$F(n)$:

```
1: if n = 1 or n = 2 then
2:     return 1
3: else
4:     return F(n − 2) + F(n − 1)
```

- We can avoid solving the same subproblem several times by saving the results in an array:

$F(n)$:

```
1: f_1 ← 1
2: f_2 ← 1
3: for i ← 3 to n do
4:     f_i ← f_{i−2} + f_{i−1}
5: return f_n
```

Example: Lightest path in a DAG

DAG=directed acyclic graph

Lightest path from s to v?



Topological sort

Edit distance

- Consider an optimal listing of edits to convert the prefix $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i$ of A into prefix $b_1 b_2 \ldots b_j$ of B
- Let the corresponding edit distance be $d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_j)$
- If $a_i = b_j$, we know that $d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_j) = d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{i-1}, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{j-1})$
- Otherwise either a_i is substituted by b_j, or a_i is deleted, or b_j is inserted in the optimal list of edits
- Hence we have

$$d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_j) = \min \begin{cases} d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{i-1}, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{j-1}) + (\text{if } a_i = b_j \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1) \\ d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{i-1}, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_j) + 1 \\ d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{j-1}) + 1 \end{cases}$$

Edit distance matrix $D[i, j]$

- Let $D[i, j]$ denote $d_L(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_i, b_q b_2 \ldots b_j)$.
- Obviously $D[0, j] = j$ and $D[i, 0] = i$ because the other prefix is of lentgh 0
- Induction from previous slide gives:

$$D[i,j] = \min \begin{cases} D[i-1, j-1] + (\text{if } a_i = b_j \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1) \\ D[i-1, j] + 1 \\ D[i, j-1] + 1 \end{cases}$$

- Matrix D can be computed in many evaluation orders:
  - $D[i-1, j-1]$, $D[i-1, j]$, and $D[i, j-1]$ must be available when computing $D[i, j]$
  - E.g. compute D row-by-row, column-by-column...
- Running time to compute $D[m, n]$ is $O(mn)$

Edit distance: example



Edit distance matrix as a DAG



		s	t	o	c	k	h	o	l	m
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
t	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
u	2	2	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
k	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	6	7
h	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	6
o	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	5
l	6	6	6	5	5	6	5	4	3	4
m	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	5	4	3
a	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	5	4

$$\text{cost} = \min(3+0, 4+1, 4+1) = 3$$

Finding optimal alignments

One alignment:

- Store pointer to each cell telling from which cell the minimum was obtained.
- Follow the pointers from (m, n) to $(0, 0)$.
- Reverse the list.

All alignments:

- Backtrack from (m, n) to $(0, 0)$ by checking at each cell (i, j) on the path whether the value $D[i, j]$ could have been obtained from cell $(i, j-1)$, $(i-1, j-1)$, or $(i-1, j)$.
- Explore all directions.
  - All three directions possible.
  - Exponentail number of optimal paths in the worst case.

Edit distance: example



		s	t	o	c	k	h	o	l	m
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
t	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
u	2	2	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
k	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	6	7
h	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	6
o	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	5
l	6	6	6	5	5	6	5	4	3	4
m	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	5	4	3
a	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	5	4

Searching homologs with edit distance?

- Take DNA sequences A and B of two genes suspected to be homologs.
- Edit distance of A and B can be *huge* even if A and B are true homologs:
  - One reason is *silent mutations* that alter DNA sequence so that the codons sill encode the same amino acids
  - In principle, A and B can differ in almost every third nucleotide.
- Better to compare protein sequences.
  - Some substitutions are more likely than the others...
  - Lot of tuning needed to use proper weight for operations

Better models $\Longrightarrow$ 582483 Biological Sequence Analysis (4cr), period III

Edit distance and NGS

- High-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) has raised again the issue of using edit distance.
- Short DNA *reads* (50-1000 bp) a.k.a. *patterns* are measured from e.g. cells of a patient.
- The reads are aligned against the reference genome
  - Typically only SNPs and measurement errors need to be taken into account.
  - The occurrence of the reads in the reference genome can be determined by finding the substring of the genome whose edit distance (or Hamming distance) to the reads is minimum.
  - Approximate string matching problem.

NGS-atlas: RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, (targeted) resequencing, *de novo* sequencing, metagenomics...



Approximate string matching with Hamming distance d_H

- k-mismatches problem: Search all occurrences O of pattern $P[1, m]$ in text $T[1, n]$ such that P differs in at most k positions from the occurrence substring.
  - More formally: $j \in O$ is a k-mismatch occurrence position of P in T if $d_h(P, T[j, j + m - 1]) \leq k$
- Naive algorithm:
  - Compare P against each $T[j, j + m - 1]$ but skip as soon as $k + 1$ mismatches are encountered.
  - Expected linear time!

Approximate string matching with edit distance d_L

- k-errors problem is the approximate string matching problem with edit distance:
  - More formally: $j \in O$ is a k-errors occurrence (end)position of P in T if and only if $d_L(P, T[j', j]) \leq k$ for some j'.
- Can be solved with the "zero the first row trick":
  - $D[0, j] = 0$ for all j.
  - Otherwise the computation is identical to edit distance computation using matrix D.
  - $D[i, j]$ then equals the minimum number of edits to convert $P[1, i]$ into *some suffix of* $T[1, j]$.
  - If $D[m, j] \leq k$ then P can be converted to some substring $T[j', j]$ with at most k edit operations.

Faster algorithms $\implies$ 58093 String Processing Algorithms (4 cr), period II

Approximate string matching: example



		A	A	C	T	T	A	C	T	T	G
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
A	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2
T	3	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2
T	4	3	3	3	2	1	2	3	2	1	2
A	5	4	3	4	3	2	1	2	3	2	2
G	6	5	4	4	4	3	2	2	3	3	2

NGS atlas and approximate string matching 1/3

- Aligning reads from ChIP-seq and targeted sequences works using basic approximate string matching.
- Tens of millions of reads, spead is an issue.
- Reference genome can be preprocessed to speed up search.
- Suffix tree like techniques work but...
  - Suffix tree of human genome takes 50-200 GB!
  - More space-efficient index structures have been developed (e.g. based on *Burrows-Wheeler transform* that drop the space to $\sim$ 3 GB.

NGS atlas and approximate string matching 2/3

- Reads from RNA-seq need more advanced alignment:
  - Read can span two exons



A C G A T C G A T G C T T T A T C T A T C T A C A
A C G A C C G A T G C T T T A T C T A A C T - C A

NGS atlas and approximate string matching 3/3

- *de novo* sequenceing and metagenomics are much harder since there is no reference genome.
- Shortest approximate superstring (exercise 2.5)
- How to modify edit distance computations for overlaps?
  - Next week's exercise

Variations: Heaviest path in a DAG

Heaviest path from s to v?



Topological sort



Heaviest paths in sequence alignment

- Consider the DAG of edit distance matrix.
- Turn minimization into maximization.
- Give *score* $\delta(a_i, b_j)$ for diagonal edges.
- Give *score* $\delta(a_i, -)$ for vertical edges.
- Give *score* $\delta(-, b_j)$ for horizontal edges.
- Heaviest path in the DAG corresponds to the global alignment with highest score
- Typically $\delta(a_i, b_j) = 1$ if $a_i = b_j$ and otherwise $\delta(a_i, b_j) = -\mu$
- Typically $\delta(a_i, -) = \delta(-, b_j) = -\sigma$

Global alignment DAG and recurrence



$$\text{score} = \max(3+1, 4-1, 4-1) = 4$$

$$S[i,j] = \max \begin{cases} S[i-1,j-1] + \delta(a_i, b_j) \\ S[i-1,j] + \delta(a_i, -) \\ S[i,j-1] + \delta(-, b_j) \end{cases}$$

Global alignment: Example

$\delta(a_i, b_j) = 1$, if $a_i = b_j$

$\delta(a_i, b_j) = -1$, otherwise

$\delta(a_i, -) = \delta(-, b_j) = -1$

j

		A	A	C	T	T	A	C	T	T	G
	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10
C	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8
A	-2	0	0	-1	-2	-3	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6
T	-3	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	-2	-3	-2	-3	-4
T	-4	-2	-2	-2	0	+1	0	-1	-2	-1	-2
A	-5	-3	-1	-2	-1	0	+2	+1	0	-1	-2
G	-6	-4	-2	-2	-2	-1	+1	+1	0	-1	0

i

Heaviest *local* paths in sequence alignment

- How to find heaviest subpaths (local path)?
- Define that the empty path has score 0.
- It is enough to search for subpaths (local paths) with weight greater than 0.
- No heaviest path can have a prefix with negative score
- Add an edge with score 0 from the first node to all other nodes.

Local alignment DAG and recurrence



$$\text{score} = \max(0, 3+1, 4-1, 4-1) = 4$$

$$S[i,j] = \max \begin{cases} 0 \\ S[i-1,j-1] + \delta(a_i, b_j) \\ S[i-1,j] + \delta(a_i, -) \\ S[i,j-1] + \delta(-, b_j) \end{cases}$$

Local alignment: Example

$\delta(a_i, b_j) = 1$, if $a_i = b_j$

$\delta(a_i, b_j) = -1$, otherwise

$\delta(a_i, -) = \delta(-, b_j) = -1$

j

		A	A	C	T	T	A	C	T	T	G
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
A	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
T	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
T	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1
A	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	2	1	1	1
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	2

i

Longest common subsequence

- Global alignment with
  - $\delta(a_i, b_j) = 1$ when $a_i = b_j$ and otherwise $\delta(a_i, b_j) = -\infty$
  - $\delta(a_i, -) = \delta(-, b_j) = 0$

  gives the length of the longest common subsequence C of A and B:
  - Longest sequence C that can be obtained by deleting 0 or more symbols from A and also by deleting 0 or more symbols from B.

    AACGCATACGG ACGACTGATCG

    ACGCTACG
  - Connection: $d_{\mathrm{ID}}(A, B) = m + n - 2 \cdot |\mathrm{LCS}(A, B)|$, where $d_{\mathrm{ID}}(A, B)$ is the edit distance with substitution cost ∞

Outline

Study Group 1: Firstnames A-I

- Read the following article before coming to the study group:

  Sear R. Eddy: How do RNA folding algorithms work? *Nature Biotechnology* **22**, 1457 - 1458 (2004).

  `http://www.nature.com/nbt/journal/v22/n11/abs/nbt1104-1457.html`

  - RNA secondary structure prediction.
  - Basic dynamic programming formulation.

- At study group, give an example of RNA secondary structure, how the recurrence is derived for its computation, and how the recurrence is evaluated.

Study Group 2: Firstnames J-Ma

- Read pages 42–45 from Sung: Algorithms in Bioinformatics: A Practical Introduction, CRC Press 2010
  - General gap penalty model
  - Affine gap penalty model
  - Copies distributed at the lecture (ask lecturer for a pdf if you were not present)
- In the study group
  - Explain the idea of each of the tables in the recurrence for the affine gap model: V, G, F, and E.
  - What is the best global alignment of CGAGAT and CAT using the affine gap model? Use cost $+4$ for a match, -2 for mismatch, -3 for gap opening, -1 for gap extension. What is the score of the alignment?

Study Group 3: Firstnames Me-Z

- Read pages 203–207 from Jones and Pevzner.
  - Gene prediction by spliced alignment:
  - Application/extension of heaviest path on a DAG
- At study group, explain the idea visually and explain how the reoccurrences are derived. What is the running time of the algorithm?