# UML2012 Exercise set 1 Solutions to be presented in the 23.3.2012 session

#### Exercise 1:

**1.1** Given two vertical vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , show that

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{a}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_2^2} \mathbf{u}_1$$
(1)

are orthogonal to each other. Furthermore, show that any linear combination of  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  can be written in terms of  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$ .

**1.2** Let's assume that  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent vectors (so that  $k \leq n$ ), and define vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$  by formula

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{a}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{u}_j\|_2^2} \mathbf{u}_j$$
(2)

Prove that  $\mathbf{u}_i$  are well defined, by showing that  $\mathbf{u}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ .

**1.3** Prove that vectors  $\mathbf{u}_i$  are orthogonal to each other (meaning  $\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_j = \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2^2 \delta_{ij}$ ). Also prove that any linear combination of  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_k$  can be written in terms of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$ .

#### Exercise 2:

**2.1** Assume two vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Together they span a parallelogram. Assume it known, that the area of the parallelogram is the length of the base multiplied by the height, and use exercise 1.1 to prove that the area S of the parallelogram satisfies

$$S^{2} = \|\mathbf{a}_{1}\|_{2}^{2}\|\mathbf{a}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} - (\mathbf{a}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{a}_{1})^{2}$$
(3)

**2.2** Form the matrix  $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ , and show that

$$S^2 = \det(A)^2 \tag{4}$$

**2.3** Consider the linear transformation  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  where A is a 2 × 2 matrix. What kind of set is  $AU_v$ , where  $U_v = [v_1, v_1 + \ell_1] \times [v_2, v_2 + \ell_2]$  is some rectangle? ( $AU_v$  means the image of  $U_v$  under the mapping A.) What is the area of  $AU_v$ ?

**2.4** Assume you had to integrate a function f over the set  $AU_v$ . Give an intuitive explanation why we have equality in the change of variables formula

$$\int_{AU_v} f(\mathbf{y}) dy = \int_{U_v} f(A\mathbf{x}) |\det(A)| dx$$
(5)

### Exercise 3:

**3.1** Assume that A is  $n \times n$  matrix, and that vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  are eigenvectors of A with the same eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . (So that  $A\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda \mathbf{u}_i$  for both i = 1, 2.) Prove that also  $\alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_2$  is an eigenvector of A for all  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**3.2** Assume that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent eigenvectors of A, and form a matrix  $U = (\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Prove that  $AU = U\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal contains the eigenvalues of A.

**3.3** Let's define a matrix  $V = (U^{-1})^T$ , and vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$  so that  $V = (\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ . Prove the following formulas, and assume that the eigenvalues are non-zero, when needed.

$$A = U\Lambda V^{T}$$

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{T}$$

$$A^{-1} = U\Lambda^{-1} V^{T}$$

$$A^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{T}$$
(6)

### Exercise 4:

**4.1** Recall that a Gaussian random variable  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  has the density

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right)$$
 (7)

Assume that  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  are iid (independent and indentically distributed) following a Gaussian distribution of mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and solve the likelihood  $L(\mu, \sigma^2 | x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ .

**4.2** Calculate the log-likelihood  $\ell(\mu, \sigma^2 | x_1, \dots, x_N) = \log(L(\mu, \sigma^2 | x_1, \dots, x_N)).$ 

**4.3** Show that the estimates  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  which maximize the likelihood are given by formulas

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_N$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \hat{\mu})^2$$
(8)

The parameter  $\sigma^2$  is denoted in such way that it appears to be a square of some parameter  $\sigma$ , but you can consider  $\sigma^2$  as a parameter of its own too, later defining  $\sigma := \sqrt{\sigma^2}$ . Calculating  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \ell \dots$  is allowed.

## Exercise 5:

The gradient of a function  $J: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at point **w** is usually defined as

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_n} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

Alternatively, it can be defined as a vector with the property

$$J(\mathbf{w} + \varepsilon \mathbf{h}) = J(\mathbf{w}) + \varepsilon (\nabla J(\mathbf{w}))^T \mathbf{h} + o(\varepsilon) \qquad (\text{as } \varepsilon \to 0)$$
(10)

for all  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Sometimes the second definition is more convenient because you can avoid multiplying out scalar products. Use either of the two definitions to find  $\nabla J(\mathbf{w})$  in the following cases.

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{a}^{T}\mathbf{w}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{T}A\mathbf{w}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{w}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = f(\|\mathbf{w}\|)$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = f(\mathbf{a}^{T}\mathbf{w})$$
(11)

Here  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is some differentiable function.