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TCP Basics

- Slow start, congestion avoidance
- Receiver generates duplicate ACKs when data is missing
- Fast retransmit at third duplicate ACK
- Fast recovery to keep the “ACK clock” in pace
  - Standard Reno (RFC 2581) or NewReno (RFC 2582)
- Without SACK at most one retransmission in RTT
- Retransmission Timer adjusted smoothly based on measured round-trip times
  - \( SRTT + 4 \times RTTVAR \)

Some TCP Enhancements

- SACK: allow several retransmissions in RTT
  - acknowledge separate blocks of received data
  - conservative: “holes” are still outstanding
  - Forward ACKs (FACK): “holes” are considered lost
- D-SACK: report duplicate segments using SACK
- Timestamps: measure RTT for retransmissions
- Eifel: report unnecessary retransmissions using timestamps
- ECN: Explicit Congestion Notification
- Limited transmit: Avoid timeouts with small window
Discussion on Specifications

- RFC 2581 & RFC 2582: Congestion Control
  - Cwnd is artificially increased on duplicate ACKs. It does not correspond to real number of segments allowed to be in flight.
- SACK congestion control draft
  - Separate document that assumes SACK is in use
  - Cwnd is not artificially increased
  - We need to implement both? Nah...
- RFC 2988 does not work well with high-granularity timers
  - No one sees this, because RTTs are generally below 1000ms

RFC 2988: RTO Calculation

- RTO estimator decays rapidly
- When measured RTT drops, RTO goes up
- No one cares, because
  - Min limit of 1000ms
  - Coarse-grain timers

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RTTVAR} & \leftarrow 0.75 \times \text{RTTVAR} + 0.25 \times |\text{SRTT} - \text{MRTT}| \\
\text{SRTT} & \leftarrow 0.8 \times \text{SRTT} + 0.2 \times \text{MRTT} \\
\text{RTO} & \leftarrow \max(1000\text{ms}, \text{SRTT} + 4 \times \text{RTTVAR})
\end{align*}
\]
Linux Approach

- Common congestion control with Reno, SACK, FACK
- sacked_out: # of segments surely left network
  - SACK: number of SACKed segments
  - Reno: number of duplicate ACKs
- lost_out: # of segments suspected lost
  - SACK & Reno: first unacknowledged is considered lost
  - FACK: holes between SACKs are considered lost
- scoreboard markings are updated accordingly

in flight = packets_out – sacked_out – lost_out + retrans_out

CA States

- <reordering> is adjusted when unnecessary retransmission is detected
  - by default 3
- Window is increased in Open and Loss states
- Window is decreased in CWR and Recovery states
Features

- Implements Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)
- Congestion window is decreased steadily every second ACK in CWR and Recovery states
  - as in "rate-halving"
- Disorder state implements "Limited transmit" in practice
- Congestion window validation: If congestion window is not fully used for a while, it is reduced
- Congestion control state is cached for future connections

Linux Retransmission Timer

- Based on RFC 2988
- min. RTO = 200 ms
- min. RTTVAR = 50 ms
- RTTVAR reduced once per round-trip time
  - but increased instantly
- if RTT drops significantly, RTTVAR weight is reduced to 1/32
Congestion Window Undoing

- TCP sender can make false retransmits, e.g. due to
  - false RTOs caused by unexpected delay
  - dupacks caused by reordering in network
- False retransmits can be detected by using
  - TCP timestamps: receiver echoes timestamp of original segment after retransmission
  - D-SACKs: a retransmitted segment is acknowledged in cumulative ACK and in D-SACK
- After detecting false retransmission the sender sets
  - cwnd <- max(cwnd, ssthresh * 2)
  - ssthresh <- prior_ssthresh

Undoing on TCP Timestamps

**Without timestamps**

- A 3-second excessive delay occurs on 256Kbps link
- Triggers RTO, but ACKs for original segments arrive after RTO
- congestion window is halved
- 65 KB acknowledged between 5 and 10 s.
Undoing on TCP Timestamps

**With timestamps**
- Next ACK after RTO echoes timestamp of original segment
- Spurious timeout is detected
  - continue by transmitting new data
  - revert recent changes on congestion control parameters
- 75 KB acknowledged between 5 and 10 s.

Undoing Can Fail
- Link outage: One window of data segments and ACKs are dropped
- ACKs echo latest timestamp that updated window
- Because ACKs are lost, sender thinks new ACK acknowledged earlier data
  - Declares RTO spurious
Delayed Acknowledgements

- Delayed acknowledgements should be used to avoid silly window syndrome
- Linux receiver measures interarrival times and adjusts delay timer accordingly
  - goal is to get an ACK out for every second segment
- Quick acknowledgements can be used at the beginning of the connection
  - causes the sender to increase the window faster
  - to avoid SWS, no more than (advwin / 2) quick acknowledgements are allowed

Effect of Quick Acks

**Without quickacks**
- 256 Kbps, 200 ms delay
  => BW*delay more than 12 KB
- 4-5 round-trips until the link is fully utilized
- every second segment is acknowledged
- 50 KB transmitted in 2.5 seconds
Effect of Quick Acks

**With quickacks**
- For the first 32 KB every segment is acknowledged
- 50 KB transmitted in 2 seconds

F-RTO
- Why should we retransmit everything after RTO?
- Transmit two new segments after the RTO
- If the resulting two ACKs advance the window, we have a suspected spurious timeout in our hands
- If they don't advance the window, reset cwnd to 1 + RTT's after RTO = 3, and retransmit unacknowledged
- No need for SACK or timestamps
- F-RTO is **not** about congestion window undoing
- ...but works well together with Eifel or D-SACK
**F-RTO Behaviour**

**Delay on the link**
- On RTO the first segment is retransmitted
- Next two ACKs advance window => continue by transmitting new data
- At least the second ACK was for delayed segment
- Congestion window is reduced to half due to RTO

**Burst error on the link**
- First ACK advances the window => transmit two new segments
- Second ACK does not => start retransmitting in slow start
Performace with Delays

Performace with Burst Errors
Concluding Remarks

- Implementation follows packet conservation in practice
  - congestion window always holds a valid value
  - counters try to estimate how many packets really are outstanding
- If the data structures tracking outstanding and suspected losses are incorrect they are corrected, if incorrectness is detected
- Retransmission timer tries to avoid the pitfalls of the original algorithm