

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Peer-to-Peer Networks

Chapter 1: Introduction

Jussi Kangasharju





Chapter Outline

- Course outline and practical matters
- Peer-to-peer (P2P) overview
- Definition of P2P
 - What is P2P and how it is different from other similar systems
- History of P2P
- Current state of P2P
- Why P2P works?
- Future of P2P
- Outline of future chapters



Course Details

- Lectures: Mondays 10-12 and Thursdays 14-16 in CK112 (Exactum)
- Exercise sessions: Tuesdays 16-18 in C222 (Exactum)
- Exercises both written and programming
 - Exercises can be done in groups
 - Exercises give bonus for exams (details in exercises)
- Exams:
 - Friday 12.12. 8-11
 - Material: Covered in lectures, exercises, and additional literature given during the course



Course Practical Arrangements

- Lecturer: Prof. Kangasharju
 - Office hours: Tue 12-13 in D233
 - Other appointments by email
- Possible literature: Peer-to-Peer Applications and Systems
 - From Springer, Editors R. Steinmetz, K. Wehrle
 - General book about many P2P issues, some are covered in this course, others not
 - Can be downloaded for free from university network
- Course announcements on course web page
 - News, announcements
 - Slides
 - Exercises



Course Outline and Goals

- Course topic is peer-to-peer networks and systems
- Take a look at current state in P2P systems, both in “real world” and in research work
- What does P2P mean?
- How is P2P principle reflected in actual systems?
- Why does P2P work?
- How to evaluate P2P systems?



Questions?



Peer-to-Peer?

- What is it?
- What does the word “peer” mean?
 - **Merriam-Webster**: one that is of equal standing with another : **EQUAL**; *especially* : one belonging to the same societal group especially based on age, grade, or status
- Peer-to-peer: From one equal partner to another?
- How do **you** define peer-to-peer?



P2P Principle

- P2P can be seen as an **organizational principle**
 - System exhibits the P2P principle more or less clearly
- P2P principle applicable to many kinds of systems
 - Content distribution, communication, distributed computation, and collaboration
- Core concepts of the P2P principle:
 - Self-organizing, no central management
 - Resource sharing, e.g., files
 - Based on voluntary collaboration, e.g., Wikipedia
 - Peers in P2P are all equal (more or less)
 - Large number of peers in the network
- In contrast: Client-server systems have clearly defined roles for client and server



Definition of P2P

A P2P system exhibits the following characteristics:

1. High degree of **autonomy** from central servers
 2. Exploits resources at the **edge** of the network
 - Storage, CPU cycles, human presence
 3. Individual nodes have **intermittent connectivity**
-
- Not strict requirements, instead **typical characteristics**
 - Above characteristics allow us to distinguish P2P systems from other similar systems



Properties of P2P Systems

■ P2P systems typically have the following properties:

1. **Unreliable, uncoordinated, unmanaged**

- No central authority, peers are completely independent
- Increases flexibility of individual peers, but makes the overall system (possibly) unreliable

2. **Resilient to attacks, heterogeneous**

- Large number of peers in the system, hard to bring it down?
- Heterogeneous peers make viruses and worms harder to write?

3. **Large collection of resources**

- Voluntary participation, global reach
- Millions of simultaneous users



P2P Vision

- P2P vision for the future:

No More Dedicated Servers, Everything in Internet Served by Peers

- No mail servers, no file servers, no web servers
- Individual peers, operating independently from one another offer all the basic services
- Is this a realistic vision?



What is P2P?

- So, what **exactly** is P2P then?!?
- Many peers distributed in the network
- So, P2P is same as distributed systems?
- Not quite...
- So, what are the relationships between P2P and
 - Distributed systems?
 - Grid computing?
 - Ad hoc networks?
 - (from the point of view of someone working on P2P... :-)



Distributed Systems

- Distributed systems (DS) around for long time
- Definition (FOLDOC)
 - *A collection of (probably heterogeneous) automata whose distribution is transparent to the user so that the system appears as one local machine. This is in contrast to a network, where the user is aware that there are several machines, and their location, storage replication, load balancing and functionality is not transparent. Distributed systems usually use some kind of client-server organisation.*
 - **Note:** In its loosest sense, distributed system is any system with several nodes and a network between them
- Above definition implies a managed and controlled network which acts as a single, logical computer
- P2P is not controlled, not managed, existence of several peers is not hidden



Grid Computing

- Grid computing is a recent phenomenon
 - About 10 years since first coherent vision
- Grid definition: (I. Foster)
 - *Coordinated resource sharing and problem solving in dynamic, multi-institutional virtual organizations.*
- Hence, grid is coordinated, aimed at solving problems
 - Motivation to join a grid: more resources available
 - Resources typically provided by everyone and usable by all
- P2P a bit similar, working towards a common goal
 - But P2P not coordinated, resources only provided by some?
 - P2P not based on institutions




Ad Hoc Networks

- Wireless ad hoc networks becoming hot
 - Origins in military uses, later also emergency management
 - Commercial uses so far non-existent
- Typical characteristics:
 - No infrastructure available
 - Individual nodes provide resources for the network
 - Common goal
- Resources typically network bandwidth (routing)
- Goal of ad hoc networks: Enable communications
- In some ways, ad hoc networks can be seen as P2P
 - In this course, we do not go in details of ad hoc networks
 - Core issues in ad hoc networks quite different from P2P, main focus is on routing



P2P vs. DS vs. Grid



Note: Sizes of bubbles not to scale... ;-)



History of P2P

- What was the first P2P system and when?
- Answer: ARPANet 1969
- Later: USENET, 1979 (also FidoNet 1984, other BBSs)
 - Current Internet routing (BGP) is P2P
- The term P2P was coined by Napster in 1999
- Napster was a huge hit, brought P2P to general attention
- Illegal sharing of copyrighted material by users was the main driver behind Napster's success and the reason for its downfall
- Other systems followed Napster quickly, based on other design choices
- Research community followed suit quickly
 - Many deployed systems proprietary, hard to examine well...



Current State of P2P

- Where are we now?
- P2P networks going strong, all over the world
 - Many networks highly popular and widely used
 - Different networks in different countries
- P2P networks currently mostly used for illegal sharing of copyrighted material
 - Music, videos, software, ...
 - Note: Can be used for legal sharing too (see BitTorrent)
- Other applications starting to emerge (see below)
- Content providers not so happy
 - Sue companies making P2P software (e.g., Napster), sue software developers (Winny), sue users sharing material
 - But also providing alternate means: iTunes & friends



New P2P Systems

- File sharing was first P2P application
- Other applications are coming to light
- BitTorrent more content distribution than file sharing
- P2P extending beyond file sharing: **Skype**
 - We will look Skype closer in Chapter 2
- Skype is a P2P telephone “system”
 - Can call other computers, or normal phones
- Skype is based on the KaZaA network (see Chapter 2)
- Similar to VoIP services (e.g., Vonage), but fully based on the individual peers
 - Skype requires a computer, VoIP services often do not



P2P: Some Statistics

- Currently P2P accounts for 70% of network traffic
 - A bit different in different networks
 - Hard to measure accurately
- Network providers (ISP) not too happy about this
 - But: Often traffic internal to ISP! (e.g., T-Com)
- Some numbers: (take with a grain of salt...)
 - KaZaA had 60 million users total, 1-5 million online at any time
 - 85 million downloads/day
 - Software downloaded over 230 million times
 - Google has 80 million users/month, 200 million queries/day
 - Skype has over 200 million users, over 10 million concurrently



Current State in Research

- Lot of interest in P2P in the research world
 - Common to networking and distributed systems
- Strong focus so far on searching and locating objects in P2P networks
- Some work on replication, robustness, and security
- Higher level work on filesystems, P2P applications
 - See later chapters for examples

- Alas, P2P has become buzzword?
 - Owes to confusion about terminology and merging of different research communities
 - No commonly accepted definition of P2P



Why Does P2P Work?

- Why P2P file sharing networks are so successful?
 1. Easy to use
 - P2P software readily available, simple to use
 2. Provide something useful (for free)
 - Until recently, only alternative to P2P content was “buy a CD”
 - Online music stores may change this?
 3. Anyone can contribute
 - Contributions not tied to geographical location; user in Brazil can provide files for everyone (compare with ad hoc networks!)
 - Enough “altruistic” users to make P2P networks useful
- Some systems (Skype) completely hide the P2P-part
 - Will this become the future trend?



P2P: Traps and Pitfalls

- What could render current P2P networks useless?
 - In particular, file sharing networks
- 1. Removal of desirable content
 - Stricter enforcement of copyright laws?
- 2. Alternative ways of getting same content
 - Online music stores?
- 3. Blocking of P2P traffic by ISPs
 - Or making users pay for bandwidth they use?
- 4. Viruses or worms on P2P networks
 - Exploit bugs in P2P software



When P2P and When Not P2P?

- So, when is P2P the right solution?
- Or, when is P2P the **wrong** solution?
- *Claim:* Our earlier P2P vision is technically feasible
 - In other words, possible to build everything on Internet without any dedicated servers
- Gotcha: Just because it's technically feasible, doesn't make it sensible...
- In other words, just because we can do it P2P, doesn't mean that we should do it P2P
 - True in many areas of life...
- So, when *is* P2P the right solution?!?



Some Criteria

■ Let's consider the following criteria

1. Budget

■ How much money do we have?

2. Resource relevance

■ How widely are resources interesting to users?

3. Trust

■ How much trust there is between users?

4. Rate of system change

■ How fast does “something” in the system change

5. Criticality

■ How critical is the service to the users



Analysis

Budget

- If you have enough money, build a centralized system
- Look at Google if you doubt this claim ;-)
 - Any system can be made to scale with enough money
- P2P is therefore useful when budget is not unlimited
 - In other words, most real-world situations...
 - From the rest of this analysis, we assume limited budget

Resource relevance

- If shared resources are highly relevant to a large number of users, P2P makes sense
- Easier to build a distributed solution when interest is widely spread



Analysis, Continued

Trust

- If other users can be trusted, P2P is a good solution
 - For example, corporate network or any closed network
- Building a fully distributed, trusted network is still very much a research problem (and may remain so...)

Rate of system change

- How high are the system dynamics?
 - Rate of peers joining and leaving, rate of information change in system, rate of change in network topology, ...
- If the rate of change is too high, a distributed P2P solution might not be able to keep up
- Again, research problem



Analysis, End


Criticality

- How important is the service to the users?
- If you “can live without it”, P2P is acceptable
- If “it must work”, then consider other solutions...

- Summary: P2P is good when:
 - Budget is limited
 - Resources have wide interest and relevance
 - Trust between participants is high
 - Rate of change is manageable
 - Criticality is low
- Note: Again, no need to fulfill every point!



P2P Suitability Tree and Examples



Taken from M. Roussopoulos et al. "2 P2P or not 2 P2P?", IPTPS 2004



What does Future Hold for P2P?

- Take out crystal ball and look 5 years into future?
 - P2P has been around for a bit over 5 years now...
- Where will file sharing be in 5 years?
 - Still popular? Underground activity?
- P2P content distribution? (BitTorrent and others)
 - Microsoft building their system for software patches?
 - Some other systems patch via BitTorrent
- How about Skype and others?
 - Will Skype be around in 5 years?
 - Will Internet telephony be taken over by telcos?
- Research efforts in P2P?
 - More mature, concentrate on fundamental principles
 - What makes P2P different from other systems?



Future of P2P?

- Global P2P networks?
 - Besides file sharing, “Skype”, and research prototypes?
- Ubiquitous computing
 - Small, autonomous devices collaborating → P2P principle in action?
- For insight on future trends: Look what is going on in Korea now :-)
 - High bandwidth residential and wireless access
 - Online gaming (50% of network traffic!) main source of traffic
 - File sharing moved to pay models
 - Online communities gaining importance
 - Of course, cultural differences can change things...



Chapter Summary

- Peer-to-peer principle of self-organization and resource sharing
- P2P systems exhibit following characteristics:
 - Autonomy from central servers
 - Use of edge resources
 - Intermittent connectivity
- Hard to define clearly the limits of P2P
 - Compare with distributed systems and grid computing
 - Different people working in different areas have different definitions



Outline of Future Chapters

- Chapter 2: Current P2P Systems
- Chapter 3: Networks, Searching, and DHTs
- Chapter 4: P2P storage
- Chapter 5: Reliability and performance in P2P Networks
- Chapter 6: P2P Content Distribution
- Chapter 7: P2P Other Issues