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Who is this course for?

- Master’s level course in Computer Science, 2 cr
- Continuation of String Processing Algorithms course
- Requires some programming experience
- Subprogram of Algorithms and Machine Learning
  - Together with String Processing Algorithms one of the three special course combinations, one of which must be included in the Master’s degree.
- Suitable addition to Master’s degree program for Bioinformatics, particularly for those interested in biological sequence analysis
- Good fit for Subrogramme of Software systems
Course structure

- Three main tasks
  - Implementation of string processing algorithms
  - Experimental analysis and/or comparison of the algorithms
  - Presentation of the results as a poster

- Each task has about the same weight in grading

- Can be done in groups of at most four
  - Each group member implements different algorithms
Algorithm implementation

Each student implements one or more algorithms or variations

- The number depends on the degree of difficulty
- Can be based on existing implementations
- Any programming language, provided that:
  - Compiles and runs on department computers
  - Same within a group
- Important qualities:
  - correct, well tested
  - readable, well documented
  - efficient, well tuned
Algorithm implementation (continued)

Return to instructor:

- Implementation code
- Scripts for compiling and running tests
- Documentation
  - description of what was done: existing code used, main design decisions, tuning details etc.
  - guidance for understanding the code
  - instructions for compiling and running
  - format is free, even comments to code is OK
- By email in a single package (zip, tar.gz, or something like that)
Experiments

- The purpose of the experiments:
  - Determine the performance of algorithms under different conditions
  - Find best algorithms, variations or parameter settings

- Choice of test data is important
  - Try to find best and worst cases for each algorithm.
  - Compare theory and practice.
  - Use generated, artificial data for fine control of parameters, real world data for real world performance.
  - Avoid too trivial experiments. For example, exact string matching time is trivially linear in the length of the text.

- Mainly joint responsibility of a group, but each student should make sure that her or his algorithms are well represented.
Poster

• Includes:
  – Description of the problem
  – Description of algorithms and implementations
  – Experimental setting (repeatability)
  – Experimental results and their interpretation

• Presented to an audience of other students and staff of the department
  – Not all have taken the String Processing Algorithms course (recently)

• Visual clarity is important
  – Avoid too much detail, include only main points and results. Additional details may be explained verbally.
  – Use figures, graphs, colors, etc.

• See examples
Topic: Exact String Matching

- KMP, Shift-Or, Horspool, BNDM, BOM, ...

- ESMAJ: http://www-igm.univ-mlv.fr/~lecroq/string/

Topic: Multiple Exact String Matching

- Aho-Corasick

- Multi-pattern versions of Shift-Or, Horspool, BOM, Karp-Rabin, ...

Topic: Approximate String Matching

- Standard dynamic programming, Ukkonen’s cut-off heuristic, Myers’ bitparallel algorithm, filtering algorithms, ...


Topic: String sorting

- String quicksort, string mergesort, MSD radix sort, ...
- Cache misses are important
Other topics

- string search trees
- suffix array construction
- ...

Tentative schedule

• 18.1. Formation of groups, selection of topics.
• 25.1. Finalization of algorithms and variations.
• 1.2. Additional details on implementations.
• 8.2. Initial design of experiments.
• 15.2. Implementations (nearly) finished, final design of experiments, initial design of poster.
• ???.2. Return of implementations.
• 22.2. Final design of poster.
• ???.2. Poster presentation.