

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

## Branching

Teppo Niinimäki <teppo.niinimaki@helsinki.fi> October 11, 2011

University of Helsinki Department of Computer Science



- 1. Branching algorithms in general
- 2. The k-Satisfiability problem
- 3. The Maximum Independent Set problem



Given a problem of size *n*. Two types of (polynomial time) rules:





reduction rules

simplify the problem or
 halt



 branching rules
 recursively smaller instances



Search tree

- models the execution of algorithm
- exponential number of nodes

Running time

- polynomial factors ignored
- \$\mathcal{O}^\*\$ (number of nodes) =
  \$\mathcal{O}^\*\$ (number of leaves)





For a branching rule b

branching vector  $\mathbf{b} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r)$ 

for max. number of leaves T(n) holds:



$$T(n) \leq T(n-t_1) + T(n-t_2) + \ldots + T(n-t_r)$$

solution:  $T(n) = \alpha^n$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ 

branching factor  $\tau(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r) = \alpha$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  running time  $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha^n)$  if only *b* used



## Common binary branching factors $\tau(i, j)$ :

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	2.0000	1.6181	1.4656	1.3803	1.3248	1.2852
2	1.6181	1.4143	1.3248	1.2721	1.2366	1.2107
3	1.4656	1.3248	1.2560	1.2208	1.1939	1.1740
4	1.3803	1.2721	1.2208	1.1893	1.1674	1.1510
5	1.3248	1.2366	1.1939	1.1674	1.1487	1.1348
6	1.2852	1.2107	1.1740	1.1510	1.1348	1.1225

Example:  $\tau$ (2,3)  $\approx$  1.3248

Generally for **b** =  $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_r)$ :

the order of t<sub>i</sub>:s irrelevant

**I**arger elements  $t_i \Rightarrow$  smaller branching factor

## Multiple branching rules

General case:

- branching rules  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$
- branching factors  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$
- running time  $\mathcal{O}^*(\alpha^n)$  where  $\alpha = \max_i \alpha_i$

Addition of branching vectors:

- Example: after **b** = (1, 2)
  - left branch: **b**′ = (2,3)
  - right branch: any rule
  - $\Rightarrow$  combined branching rule: (3, 4, 2)





- 1. Branching algorithms in general
- 2. The k-Satisfiability problem
- 3. The Maximum Independent Set problem

## Maximum Independent Set

Given an undirected graph G = (V, E).

I ⊆ V is independent set if no vertices of I are adjacent.

The MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT SET problem: "Find an independent set of maximum size."

The size of the problem: n = |V|



For node  $v \in V$ 

- N(v) neighborhood, N[v] closed neighborhood
- $N^2(v)$  vertices at distance of 2 from v

•  $G \setminus v$  subgraph with v removed

For nodes  $S \subset V$ 

- *G*[*S*] subgraph induced by *S*
- $G \setminus S$  subgraph induced by  $V \setminus S$

For graph G

- $\delta(G)$  minimum degree of G
- $\Delta(G) maximum degree of G$



**Input:** A graph G = (V, E). **Output:** A maximum independent set of *G*.

• if  $V = \emptyset$  then return  $\emptyset$ 

• if  $\delta(G) = 0$  then ...

• if  $\delta(G) = 1$  then ...

• if  $\delta(G) = 2$  then ...

if  $\delta(G) = 3$  then ...

- if  $\Delta(G) \ge 6$  then ...
- **if** *G* is disconnected **then** ....
- **if** *G* is 4 or 5-regular **then** ....
- if  $\Delta(G) = 5$  and  $\delta(G) = 4$  then ...



Let  $v \in V$  and mis(G) be some maximum independent set of *G*.

**Lemma (2.6).** If no maximum independent set contains v then every maximum independent set contains at least two vertices from N(v).

**Simplicial rule:** If N[v] is a clique, then  $\{v\} \cup \min(G \setminus N[v])$  is a maximum independent set of *G*.



Worst case branching factors:

 $\begin{array}{lll} V = \emptyset & \Rightarrow & \text{reduction} \\ \delta(G) = 0 & \Rightarrow & \text{reduction} \\ \delta(G) = 1 & \Rightarrow & \text{reduction} \\ \delta(G) = 2 & \Rightarrow & \alpha < 1.1939 \\ \delta(G) = 3 & \Rightarrow & \alpha < 1.2721 \\ \Delta(G) \geq 6 & \Rightarrow & \alpha < 1.2445 \\ \hline G \text{ is disconnected} & \Rightarrow & \alpha < 1.1893 \\ \hline G \text{ is 4 or 5-regular} & \Rightarrow & \text{ignored} \\ \hline \Delta(G) = 5 \text{ and } \delta(G) = 4 & \Rightarrow & \alpha < 1.2786 \end{array}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  The running time is  $\mathcal{O}^*(1.2786^n)$ .



Branching algorithms:

- Based on recursive division to smaller subproblems
- Running time might be much better for some particular instances
- Typically low (polynomial / linear) space complexity